arXiv:1205.7020v2 [math.QA] 11 Jun 2012

QUANTUM CHEVALLEY GROUPS

ARKADY BERENSTEIN AND JACOB GREENSTEIN

Abstract. The goal of this paper is to construct quantum analogues of Chevalley groups inside completions of quantum groups or, more precisely, inside completions of Hall algebras of finitary categories. In particular, we obtain pentagonal and other identities in the quantum Chevalley groups which generalize their classical counterparts and explain Faddeev-Volkov quantum dilogarithmic identities and their recent generalizations due to Keller

1. Introduction

It is well-known that a quantum group is not a group, in particular, it has very few elements that can be regarded as "group-like". To construct group-like elements, it suffices to introduce a collection of "exponentials" in the completed quantum group which are analogues of formal exponentials $\exp(x)$, where x runs over a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the exponential $\exp(x)$ is viewed as an element of the completed enveloping algebra $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since each quantum group can be realized as a (twisted) Hall-Ringel algebra of a (finitary) abelian category, this motivates the following definition. Consider the completion $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of the Hall algebra $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ with respect to its standard grading by the Grothendieck group of $\mathscr{A}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary abelian category. Define the *exponential* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ in the completed Hall algebra $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$ by:

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \sum_{[A]\in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} [A]$$

where $\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ is the set of isomorphism classes $[A]$ of objects in $\mathscr{A}$.

We can justify this definition, in particular, by the following simple observations.

Lemma 1.2. *For any finitary category $\mathscr{A}$ one has*

$$\Delta(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} \otimes \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}},$$

where $\Delta : H_{\mathscr{A}} \to H_{\mathscr{A}} \widehat{\otimes} H_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a coproduct (though, not always co-associative) defined in Appendix A.

Lemma 1.3. *If $\mathscr{A}$ is the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over a finite field $\Bbbk$, then*

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \exp_q(x) = \sum_{n\ge 0} \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}$$

where x is the isomorphism class of $\Bbbk$ in $\mathscr{A}$, $q = |\Bbbk|$, and $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$, where $[k]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}$ is the q-number.

Date: March 6, 2013.

The authors were supported in part by NSF grants DMS-0800247, DMS-1101507 (A.B.) and DMS-0654421 (J. G.)
.

In general the exponentials behave nicely due to the following extension of Reineke's inversion formula [7, Lemma 3.4] to more general abelian categories.

Theorem 1.4. *For any finitary abelian category with the finite length property*

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}{}^{-1} = \sum_{[M]\,:\,M \text{ semisimple}} c_{[M]} \cdot [M],$$

where

$$c_{[M]} = \prod_{[S]\,:\,S \text{ simple}} (-1)^{[M:S]} |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S|^{\binom{[M:S]}{2}}$$

and $[M:S]$ *denotes the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of* S *in* M*.*

These observations suggest the following definition.

Definition 1.5. Given a finitary abelian category $\mathscr{A}$, its *quantum Chevalley group* $G_{\mathscr{A}}$ is the subgroup of $(\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}})^{\times}$ generated by all exponentials $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{C}} \in \widehat{H}_{\mathscr{C}} \subset \widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$, where $\mathscr{C}$ runs over all full subcategories of $\mathscr{A}$ closed under extensions.

Now we investigate the adjoint action of some elements of quantum Chevalley groups on their Hall algebras.

For each object E of $\mathscr{A}$ denote by $\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)$ the minimal additive full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ containing all direct summands of E. The following result is obvious.

Lemma 1.6. *If* E *is an object of* $\mathscr{A}$ *without self-extensions such that* $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ *is a field, then* $\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)$ *is semisimple and the element*

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)} = \exp_{q_E}([E])$$

of $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$*, where* $q_E = |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E|$*, belongs to the quantum Chevalley group.*

Given a full additive subcategory $\mathscr{B}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ we denote by $\mathscr{B}^{\llcorner}$ (respectively $\mathscr{B}^{\lrcorner}$) the largest full subcategory $\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects C satisfy $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(B,C)=0$ (respectively $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(C,B)=0$) for all $B \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{B}$. Clearly, $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathscr{B}^{\llcorner}$ if and only if $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{C}^{\lrcorner}$. The following Lemma is immediate.

Lemma 1.7. *For any full additive subcategory* $\mathscr{B}$ *of* $\mathscr{A}$*,* $\mathscr{B}^{\lrcorner}$ *and* $\mathscr{B}^{\llcorner}$ *are closed under extensions.*

We will show below that the conjugation with exponentials $\operatorname{Exp}_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)} = \exp_{q_E}([E])$ for each E as in Lemma 1.6 almost preserves the entire Hall algebra of the categories $\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}$ and $\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}$.

To make this statement precise, we need more notation. Let R be a ring and let $S = \{a_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$ be a set of pairwise commuting regular elements (i.e., not zero divisors) in R. The *localization* $R[S^{-1}]$ of R with respect to S is then the quotient of the free product $R * \mathbb{Z}[x_\iota : \iota \in I]$ by the ideal generated by $a_\iota * x_\iota - 1$ and $x_\iota * a_\iota - 1$, $\iota \in I$.

Clearly, for each E as in Lemma 1.6 the subset $\mathcal{S}_E \subset H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}} \cap H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_E := \{1 + (q_E - 1) q_E^j [E] \,|\, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

consists of pairwise commuting regular elements, therefore the localizations $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$ and $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$ are well-defined.

The following results furthers the analogy between the classical Chevalley group viewed as automorphisms of a semisimple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ and its quantum counterpart whose subgroup acts by automorphisms of the (slightly extended) Hall subalgebra.

Theorem 1.8. *Let E be as in Lemma 1.6. Then the assignment*

$$x \mapsto \exp_{q_E}([E]) \cdot x \cdot \exp_{q_E}([E])^{-1}$$

defines an automorphism of the localized algebras $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$ and $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$.

We refine and prove the theorem in Section 3 (Theorem 3.11). In fact, we show that $\mathcal{S}_E$ is an Ore set for both $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}}$ and $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}}$. In a special case when E is a projective or an injective indecomposable, or, more generally, a preprojective or preinjective indecomposable in a hereditary category, it turns out that we obtain an automorphism of the localized Hall algebra $H_{\mathscr{A}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$.

Next we discuss factorization of exponentials.

Definition 1.9. We say that an ordered pair of full subcategories $(\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}'')$ of $\mathscr{A}$ is a *factorizing pair* if $\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}''$ are closed under extensions and every object M in $\mathscr{A}$ has a unique subobject $M'' \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathscr{A}''$ such that M/M'' is an object in $\mathscr{A}'$.

More generally, we define a factorizing sequence $(\mathscr{A}_1, \dots, \mathscr{A}_m)$, $m \geq 2$ for $\mathscr{A}$ recursively by:

- If $m \geq 3$, then $(\mathscr{A}_1, \dots, \mathscr{A}_{m-1})$ is a factorizing sequence for the category $\mathscr{A}_{\leq m-1}$, which is the minimal additive subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ containing $\mathscr{A}_1, \dots, \mathscr{A}_{m-1}$ and closed under extensions;
- $(\mathscr{A}_{\leq m-1}, \mathscr{A}_m)$ is a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$.

The following result is immediate.

Proposition 1.10. *If $(\mathscr{A}_1, \dots, \mathscr{A}_m)$ is a factorizing sequence for a finitary abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ then*

(a) $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_1} \cdots \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_m}$.

(b) *If, additionally, $\mathscr{A}_i \subset \mathscr{A}_j^{\lrcorner}$ for all $i < j$, then*

$$H_{\mathscr{A}} \cong H_{\mathscr{A}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_m}$$

as a vector space.

The simplest example of a factorizing pair is provided by the following.

Proposition 1.11. *Let S be a simple object in a finitary abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ with the finite length property. Let $\mathscr{A}' = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} S$ and let $\mathscr{A}''$ be the maximal full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects M satisfy $[M : S] = 0$.*

(a) *If S is injective, then $(\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}'')$ is a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$.*

(b) *If S is projective, then $(\mathscr{A}'', \mathscr{A}')$ is a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$.*

Let $(\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}'')$ be a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$. We say that $(\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}'')$ is a pentagonal pair if there exists a full additive subcategory $\mathscr{A}_0$ closed under extensions such that $(\mathscr{A}'', \mathscr{A}_0, \mathscr{A}')$ is a factorizing triple. If $(\mathscr{A}', \mathscr{A}'')$ is a pentagonal pair then, clearly

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}'} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}''} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}''} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}'},$$

which is the generalized pentagonal relation in the quantum Chevalley group.

Proposition 1.12. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary abelian category with the finite length property. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simples in $\mathscr{A}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_- \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}_+$ where $\mathcal{S}_-$ (respectively $\mathcal{S}_+$) is the set of isomorphism classes of projective (respectively, injective) simple objects. Then $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$, where $\mathscr{A}_\pm = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathcal{S}_\pm$, is a pentagonal pair with $\mathscr{A}_0$ being the full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects have no simple direct summands.*

In particular, if $\mathscr{A}$ has only two isomorphism classes of simple objects $[S_0], [S_1]$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S_0, S_1) = 0$, we obtain

$$[\exp_{q_0}([S_0]), \exp_{q_1}([S_1])] = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0}$$

where $[x, y] = x^{-1}yxy^{-1}$ and $q_i = |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S_i|$. Let $a_i = \dim_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S_i} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S_1, S_0)$. If $a_0a_1 < 4$, $\mathscr{A}$ has finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposables and we obtain

$$[\exp_{q_0}(X_{\alpha_0}), \exp_{q_1}(X_{\alpha_1})] = \begin{cases} \exp_{q_1}(X_{\alpha_{10}}), & a_0a_1 = 1, \\ \exp_{q_1}(X_{\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(X_{\alpha_{01}}), & a_0a_1 = 2, \\ \exp_{q_1}(X_{\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(X_{\alpha_{01}+\alpha_0}) \exp_{q_1}(X_{\alpha_1+\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(X_{\alpha_{01}}), & a_0a_1 = 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i + a_j\alpha_j$ (the notation X_α is explained in Theorem 1.15 below). Other examples of pentagonal pairs are provided in Section 5.

Given $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$, denote by $|M|$ its image in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ of $\mathscr{A}$. If $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditary, we can consider the twisted group algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ with the multiplication defined by

$$t^\alpha t^\beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^{-1} t^{\alpha+\beta}$$

where $\langle |M|, |N| \rangle = |\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)|/|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)|$ is the Ringel form. Then we have an algebra homomorphism ([4, 5, 7])

$$\int : H_{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{A}}, \qquad [M] \mapsto \frac{t^{|M|}}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(M)|}.$$

Applying it to pentagonal identities yields the so-called quantum dilogarithm identities (cf. [5]). In this case, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is generated by x_1, x_0 subject to the relation

$$x_1x_0 = q^{a_0a_1}x_0x_1$$

and we have the following identities in the natural completion $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{A}}$

$$[\mathbb{E}_{q_0}(x_0), \mathbb{E}_{q_1}(x_1)] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{q_1}(x_0x_1), & a_0a_1 = 1 \\ \mathbb{E}_{q_1}(p_0x_0^{a_0}x_1)\mathbb{E}_{q_0}(p_1x_0x_1^{a_1}), & a_0a_1 = 2 \\ \mathbb{E}_{q_1}(p_0x_0^{a_0}x_1)\mathbb{E}_{q_0}(q_0p_1x_0^2x_1^{a_1})\mathbb{E}_{q_1}(p_0q_1x_0^{a_0}x_1^2)\mathbb{E}_{q_0}(p_1x_0x_1^{a_1}), & a_0a_1 = 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_q(x) = \exp_q(x/(q-1))$ is a quantum dilogarithm and $p_i = q_i^{\binom{a_i}{2}}$.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the category of finite length modules over a finite hereditary ring and denote by $\mathscr{A}_-$ (respectively $\mathscr{A}_+$) the preprojective (respectively, the preinjective) component of $\mathscr{A}$. Note that if $\mathscr{A}$ is *hereditary* then $\mathscr{A}_- = \mathscr{A}_+ = \mathscr{A}$ if and only if $\mathscr{A}$ is of finite type. Otherwise, $\mathscr{A}_- \subset \mathscr{A}_+^{\lrcorner}$ and the triple $(\mathscr{A}_-, \mathscr{A}_0, \mathscr{A}_+)$, where $\mathscr{A}_0 = \mathscr{A}_-{}^{\llcorner} \cap \mathscr{A}_+{}^{\lrcorner}$, is a factorizing sequence for $\mathscr{A}$ and satisfies hypotheses of Proposition 1.10(b). This implies the following result.

Corollary 1.13. *Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditary of infinite type. Then*

(a) *The Hall algebra $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ admits a triangular decomposition $H_{\mathscr{A}} \cong H_{\mathscr{A}_-} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_0} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_+}$.*

(b) *The exponential $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}$ admits a factorization $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}$.*

Finally, we describe $H_{\mathscr{A}_\pm}$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\pm}$ (and, to some extent, $H_{\mathscr{A}_0}$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0}$) in the case when $\mathscr{A}$ is the representation category of a finite hereditary algebra over $\Bbbk$.

Number the isomorphism classes of simples $[S_i]$, $1 \le i \le r$ in such a way that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S_j, S_i) = 0$ if $i < j$. The elements $\alpha_i = |S_i|$ form a basis of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$. Let $K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$ be the submonoid of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ generated by the α_i, $1 \le i \le r$. Since the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate for each $1 \le i \le r$ there exist unique $\gamma_i, \gamma_{-i} \in K_0(\mathscr{A})$ such that for all $1 \le j \le r$

$$\langle \gamma_{-i}, \alpha_j \rangle = q_i^{\delta_{ij}} = \langle \alpha_j, \gamma_i \rangle$$

where $q_i = |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S_i|$. Then $\{\gamma_i\}_{1 \le i \le r}$ and $\{\gamma_{-i}\}_{1 \le i \le r}$ are bases of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$. Let c be the unique automorphism of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ defined by $c(\gamma_{-i}) = -\gamma_i$.

Lemma 1.14. (a) *For all $1 \le i \le r$, $\gamma_{\pm i} \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$*

(b) *Set*

$$\Gamma_\pm = \{\gamma_{\pm i, \pm k} := c^{\pm k}(\gamma_{\pm i}) \,:\, 1 \le i \le r,\ k \ge 0\} \cap K_0^+(\mathscr{A}).$$

Then for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ there exists a unique, up to an isomorphism, indecomposable object E_γ with $|E_\gamma| = \gamma$. Moreover, an indecomposable M is preprojective (respectively, preinjective) if and only if $M \cong E_\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma_-$ (respectively, $\gamma \in \Gamma_+$).

(c) *If $\mathscr{A}$ has finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposables then $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma_-$. Otherwise, $\Gamma_+ \cap \Gamma_- = \emptyset$ and $\gamma_{\pm i, \pm k} \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$ for all $1 \le i \le r$, $k \ge 0$.*

Theorem 1.15. *In the notation of Corollary 1.13:*

$$H_{\mathscr{A}_-} = \overrightarrow{\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma_-}} \mathbb{Q}[X_\gamma], \qquad H_{\mathscr{A}_+} = \overleftarrow{\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Gamma_+}} \mathbb{Q}[X_\gamma]$$

as vector spaces, while the exponentials $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\pm}$ factor as

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-} = \overrightarrow{\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_-}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_\gamma}(X_\gamma), \qquad \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+} = \overleftarrow{\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_+}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_\gamma}(X_\gamma)$$

where $q_{\gamma_{\pm i, \pm r}} = q_i$, $X_\gamma = [E_\gamma]$ and both products are taken in the total order on Γ_- (respectively, on Γ_+) defined by $\gamma_{-j,-r} < \gamma_{-i,-s}$ (respectively, $\gamma_{i,s} < \gamma_{j,r}$) if either $r < s$ or $r = s$ and $i < j$.

We prove Lemma 1.14, Theorem 1.15 and its Corollary in §4.4.

It turns out that the factorization of $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}$ allows one to obtain an elementary proof of Ringel's result (cf. [2]) that the $[E_\gamma]$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ are contained in the composition algebra $C_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$. After Ringel ([9]), the composition algebra $C_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$, that is, the subalgebra of $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ generated by the $[S_i]$, $1 \le i \le r$, has the following presentation (cf. (3.13))

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{(1, q_i, q_i^2, \dots, q_i^{-a_{ij}})} [S_i])([S_j]) = 0, \qquad (\operatorname{ad}^*_{(1, q_j, \dots, q_j^{-a_{ji}})} [S_j])([S_i]), \qquad j < i$$

(the repeated quantum commutators $(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_0, \dots, q_m)} x)(y)$ and $(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_0, \dots, q_m)} x)(y)$ are defined in §3.2). In fact, this algebra is isomorphic to a Sevostyanov's analogue of the upper half of the quantized universal enveloping algebra corresponding to the symmetrized Cartan matrix DA ([11]).

Corollary 1.16. *For all* $\gamma \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$, $[E_\gamma] \in C_{\mathscr{A}}$.

This statement is proven in §4.5.

Acknowledgments. An important part of this work was done while both authors were visiting the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and it is our pleasure to thank the Department of Mathematics for its hospitality and Pavel Etingof for his support and stimulating discussions. We are grateful to Jiarui Fei for inspiring conversations.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by fixing some notation. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category. We will mostly assume that $\mathscr{A}$ is a $\Bbbk$-category for some field $\Bbbk$, that is, there exists an exact functor $\mathscr{A} \to \operatorname{Vect}_{\Bbbk}$, and is essentially small. We denote by $\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ the set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathscr{A}$. Given an object $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$, we denote by $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ its isomorphism class. For any $\mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$, let $\operatorname{Add}\mathcal{S}$ be the smallest additively closed full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ containing all direct summands of all objects $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$ with $[M] \in \mathcal{S}$. We set $\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} M = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\{[M]\}$ for any object M in $\mathscr{A}$. We denote by $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ the smallest full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ containing all objects M with $[M] \in \mathcal{S}$ and closed under extensions. We denote by $K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$ the submonoid of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ generated by the $|M|$, $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ and define a partial order $\le$ on $K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$ by $\lambda \le \mu$ if $\mu - \lambda \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$. If $\mathscr{B}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ closed under extensions, we denote by $K_0(\mathscr{B})$ the subgroup of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ generated by the $|M|$, $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}$, and we set $K_0^+(\mathscr{B}) = K_0^+(\mathscr{A}) \cap K_0(\mathscr{B})$.

2.1. Recall that an object M in $\mathscr{A}$ is called *indecomposable* if M is not isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero subobjects. The category $\mathscr{A}$ is said to be Krull-Schmidt if every object in $\mathscr{A}$ can be written, uniquely (up to an isomorphism) as a direct sum of finitely many indecomposable objects. If $\mathscr{A}$ is Krull-Schmidt, we denote by $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} \subset \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ the set of isomorphism classes of all indecomposable objects in $\mathscr{A}$. The following simple Lemma will be used repeatedly in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $\mathscr{A}$ *is Krull-Schmidt and* $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} = \mathcal{I}_1 \bigsqcup \cdots \bigsqcup \mathcal{I}_r$ *such that for all* $1 \le i < j \le r$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ *if* $[M_s] \in \mathcal{I}_s$. *Then the categories* $\mathscr{A}_s = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathcal{I}_s$, $1 \le s \le r$, *are closed under extensions.*

Proof. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to X \to N \to 0$$

with $M, N \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}_s$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Y, -)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(-, Z)$) with Y, Z indecomposable such that $[Y] \in \mathcal{I}_t$ (respectively, $[Z] \in \mathcal{I}_k$) and $t < s < k$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Y, X) = 0$ (respectively, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(X, Z) = 0$), hence X cannot have Y and Z as its indecomposable summands. Thus, the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable summands of X lie in $\mathcal{I}_s$ and so $X \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}_s$. $\square$

If $\mathscr{A}_i$, $i \in I$ are full additive subcategories of $\mathscr{A}$ such that the additive monoid $\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ is the direct sum of its submonoids $\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}_i$, we write $\mathscr{A} = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathscr{A}_i$. A typical example is provided by a partition of the set $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$ if $\mathscr{A}$ is Krull-Schmidt (and so $\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ is a free monoid generated by $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$) if $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i$ then $\mathscr{A} = \bigvee_{i \in I} \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathcal{S}_i$. Also, we denote by $\mathscr{B}_1 \cap \mathscr{B}_2$ the full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects are in $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$.

2.2. Given $M, N, K \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_{MN}^{K} = \{(f, g) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N, K) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(K, M) \,:\, \ker g = \operatorname{Im} f\}.$$

The group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(M) \times \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(N)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{P}_{MN}^{K}$ and the set of orbits identifies with

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN}^{K} = \{U \subset K \,:\, U \cong N,\ K/U \cong M\}.$$

It is easy to see that isomorphisms of objects $M \to M'$, $N \to N'$ and $K \to K'$ induce bijections between the sets $\mathcal{F}_{MN}^{K}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{M'K'}^{K'}$.

Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is finitary, that is, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)$ are finite sets for all $M, N \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$.

Definition 2.2 (Ringel [9])**.** The Hall algebra $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with the basis $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$ and with the multiplication defined by

$$[M][N] = \sum_{[K] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} F_{MN}^{K}[K].$$

The number $F_{MN}^{K} := \#\mathcal{F}_{MN}^{K}$ is independent of representatives of isomorphism classes.

A Hall algebra can be defined for an exact category. In particular, if $\mathscr{B}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ and is closed under extensions, then we can consider its Hall algebra $H_{\mathscr{B}}$ which identifies with the subspace of $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ spanned by the $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}$. Note that $H_{\mathscr{B}}$ is graded by $K_0(\mathscr{B})$

$$H_{\mathscr{B}} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{B})} H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma},$$

where $H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma}$ is spanned by the $[M] \in \operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}$ with $|M| = \gamma$. Then the completion $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$ of $H_{\mathscr{B}}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{B}} = \prod_{\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{B})} H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma}.$$

Clearly, there is a natural surjective map $\mathcal{P}_{MN}^{K} \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)_K$, where $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)_K$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of short exact sequences

$$0 \to N \to E \to M \to 0$$

with $E \cong K$. The fiber of that map is given by $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(K)/\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}} K}(f, g)$ and it can be shown that the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N) \to \operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}} K}(f, g)$, $\psi \mapsto 1 + f\psi g$ is a bijection. This yields

Proposition 2.3 (Riedtmann's formula [8])**.** *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary category. Then for all $M, N, K \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$*

$$F_{MN}^{K} = \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)_K||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(K)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(M) \times \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(N) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)|} \tag{2.1}$$

We note the following simple corollary which will be used repeatedly.

Corollary 2.4. (a) *Suppose that* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N, M) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N)$. *Then* $[M][N] = [M \oplus N]$.

(b) *Let E be such that* $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ *is a field and* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, E) = 0$. *Then* $[E^{\oplus a}] = \dfrac{[E]^a}{[a]_{q_E}!}$ *where* $q_E = |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E|$.

Proof. To prove (a), observe that $|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N)_{M\oplus N}|=1$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N,M)=0$ implies that

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(M\oplus N)\cong\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(M)\times\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(N)\times\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N),$$

and it remains to apply (2.1).

For part (b), note that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}E^{\oplus a}\cong\operatorname{GL}(a,\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}}E)$, hence

$$\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(E^{\oplus(a+1)})|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(E^{\oplus a})||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}E|}=\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{a}(q_E^{a+1}-q_E^j)}{(q_E-1)\prod_{j=0}^{a-1}(q_E^a-q_E^j)}=q_E^a[a+1]_{q_E}.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E^{\oplus a},E)|=q_E^a$, (2.1) implies that

$$[E^{\oplus a}][E]=[a+1]_{q_E}[E^{\oplus(a+1)}],$$

and the second identity follows by an obvious induction. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary abelian category and suppose that $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_1\bigvee\cdots\bigvee\mathscr{A}_r$ where the $\mathscr{A}_j$, $1\le j\le r$ are closed under extensions and for all $1\le i<j\le r$, $\mathscr{A}_j\subset\mathscr{A}_i^{\llcorner}$. Then*

(a) *the multiplication map $m:H_{\mathscr{A}_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_r}\to H_{\mathscr{A}}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. In particular, if $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathscr{A}_r$ then $H_{\mathscr{A}}\cong H_{\mathscr{A}_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_r}$ as an algebra;*

(b) *If $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A_j,A_i)=0$ for all $1\le i<j\le r$, where $A_s\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}_s$, then $(\mathscr{A}_1,\dots,\mathscr{A}_r)$ is a factorizing sequence for $\mathscr{A}$.*

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove this statement for $r=2$. Let $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{A}_1$, $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{A}_2$. By (2.1), $m([B]\otimes[C])=[B][C]=c_{[B],[C]}[B\oplus C]$ where

$$c_{[B],[C]}=\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(B\oplus C)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(B,C)||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(B)\times\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(C)|}\neq 0.$$

On the other hand, since for any $[M]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}$, there exist a unique $([B_M],[C_M])\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}\times\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{C}$ with $[M]=[B_M\oplus C_M]$, the assignment $[M]\mapsto c^{-1}_{[B_M],[C_M]}[B_M]\otimes[C_M]$ provides a well-defined linear map $\psi:H_{\mathscr{A}}\to H_{\mathscr{B}}\otimes H_{\mathscr{C}}$. Clearly, $\psi\circ m=1_{H_{\mathscr{B}}\otimes H_{\mathscr{A}}}$ and $m\circ\psi=1_{H_{\mathscr{A}}}$.

If $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{B}\oplus\mathscr{C}$ then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(B,C)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(C,B)=0=\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(B,C)=\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(C,B)$ for all $B\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{B}$, $C\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{C}$. In particular, $[B][C]=[C][B]=[B\oplus C]$ and so $H_{\mathscr{B}}H_{\mathscr{C}}=H_{\mathscr{C}}H_{\mathscr{B}}$. Thus, in this case $H_{\mathscr{A}}\cong H_{\mathscr{B}}\otimes H_{\mathscr{C}}$ as an algebra.

To prove (b), note that by Corollary 2.4(a), $[B][C]=[B\oplus C]$ for all $B\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{B}$, $C\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{C}$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{B}}\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{C}}=\sum_{[B]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}}[B]\sum_{[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{C}}[C]=\sum_{([B],[C])\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}\times\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{C}}[B][C]=\sum_{[A]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}}[A]=\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}.$$ □

2.3. Given an object X in $\mathscr{A}$ without self-extensions, let $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([X])=\operatorname{Exp}_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}X}$. For example, if $\mathscr{A}$ is the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over a finite field $\Bbbk$, $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([\Bbbk])$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let S be a simple object in a finitary abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ with the finite length property. Let $\mathscr{A}'=\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}S$ and let $\mathscr{A}''$ be the maximal full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects M satisfy $[M:S]=0$.*

(a) *If S is injective, then for any $M\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$, there is a unique subobject $M''\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$ of M such that $M/M''\cong S^{\oplus[M:S]}$. In particular, $(\mathscr{A}',\mathscr{A}'')$ is a factorizing pair.*

(b) *If S is projective, then for any $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$, there is a unique subobject $M' \cong S^{\oplus[M:S]}$ such that $M/M' \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}''$. In particular, $(\mathscr{A}'', \mathscr{A}')$ is a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$.*

Proof. We prove part (a), the proof for the other part being similar. Let $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$. Then M has a unique maximal subobject M'' which is an object in $\mathscr{A}''$. Indeed, if $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}''$ are subobjects of M, then their sum $X + Y$ which is the image of $X \oplus Y$ in M under the map canonically induced by the natural inclusions $X \hookrightarrow M$ and $Y \hookrightarrow M$, is also an object in $\mathscr{A}''$.

We claim that $M/M'' \cong S^{\oplus[M:S]}$. Indeed, let $a \geq 0$ be maximal such that M/M'' has a subobject isomorphic to $S^{\oplus a}$. Since S is injective, $M/M'' \cong R \oplus S^{\oplus a}$ for some subobject R of M/M''. Suppose that $R \neq 0$. Then R has a simple subobject S', which by the choice of a is not isomorphic to S. Let K be the subobject of M such that M'' is a subobject of K and $K/M'' = S'$. Then K is an object in $\mathscr{A}''$ and since $K \neq M''$, we obtain a contradiction by the maximality of M''. Thus, $R = 0$ and so $M/M'' \cong S^{\oplus a}$. Since $[M'' : S] = 0$, the short exact sequence $0 \to M'' \to M \to S^{\oplus a} \to 0$ yields $a = [M : S]$. □

2.4. From now on, we only consider abelian $\Bbbk$-categories for a field $\Bbbk$. In this case, if S is a simple object in $\mathscr{A}$, $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S$ is a division algebra over $\Bbbk$. Note also that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S, S')$ is a right $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S$-module and a left $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S'$-module. Consider the Ext-quiver $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$, which is the valued quiver with vertices corresponding to isomorphism classes of simple objects and arrows $[S] \xrightarrow{(a,b)} [S']$ if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S, S') \neq 0$ where $a = \dim_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S'} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S, S')$ and $b = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S, S')_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S}$. We say that $\mathscr{A}$ is acyclic if $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is acyclic.

If $\mathscr{A}$ has the finite length property, denote by $[M : S]$ the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of a simple object S in an object M. We say that $\mathscr{A}$ admits a source order if the set of vertices of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is countable and there exists a numbering of vertices such that $[S_i]$ is a source in the quiver obtained by removing all vertices $[S_j]$, $j < i$ and their adjacent arrows. Equivalently, there exists a numbering of the isomorphism classes of simples such that S_i is injective in the Serre subcategory whose objects satisfy $[M : S_j] = 0$ if $j < i$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an acyclic abelian category with the finite length property. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ has finitely many vertices. Then $\mathscr{A}$ admits a source order.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is finite and acyclic, it contains at least one source. Let $[S_1]$ be the corresponding class and consider the Serre subcategory whose objects satisfy $[M : S_1] = 0$. Its Ext quiver is obtained from that of $\mathscr{A}$ by removing the vertex $[S_1]$ and its adjacent arrows. Continuing this way, we obtain the desired total order. □

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.6.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary category and suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ admits a source order $[S_1] \prec [S_2] \prec \cdots$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \overrightarrow{\prod_i} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([S_i])$$

Now we can prove Proposition 1.12 from the introduction.

Proof of Proposition 1.12. It is immediate from Proposition 2.6 that $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a factorizing pair for $\mathscr{A}$. Clearly, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_- \bigvee \mathscr{A}_0 \bigvee \mathscr{A}_+$, $\mathscr{A}_- \subset \mathscr{A}_0^{\lrcorner}, \mathscr{A}_+^{\lrcorner}$ and $\mathscr{A}_0 \subset \mathscr{A}_+^{\lrcorner}$. Suppose that M is an object in $\mathscr{A}_0$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, S_-) = 0$ for any $[S_-] \in \mathcal{S}_-$, for otherwise S_- is a direct summand of M, and similarly, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(S_+, M) = 0$ for all $[S_+] \in \mathcal{S}_+$. Therefore, $(\mathscr{A}_-, \mathscr{A}_0, \mathscr{A}_+)$ is a factorizing triple by Proposition 2.5. □

2.5. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ closed under extensions. Given $\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{B})$ and an element X of $H_{\mathscr{B}}$ or $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{B}}$, denote by $X|_\gamma$ its canonical projection onto $H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma}$. Clearly, $X = \sum_{\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{B})} X|_\gamma$. Define $E_{\mathscr{B}}$ as the subalgebra of $H_{\mathscr{B}}$ generated by the $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{B}}|_\gamma$, $\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{B})$.

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a factorizing pair for a finitary category $\mathscr{A}$ with the finite length property. Assume that*

$$K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+) \cap K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $E_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} \subset E_{\mathscr{A}}$.

Proof. Since $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a factorizing pair, $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}$ which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}|_\gamma = \sum_{(\gamma_+,\gamma_-) \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+) \times K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-)\,:\,\gamma_+ + \gamma_- = \gamma} (\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}|_{\gamma_+})(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}|_{\gamma_-}), \qquad \gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}).$$

Then by (2.2) we have for all $\gamma_\pm \in K_0(\mathscr{A}_\pm)^+$

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\pm}|_{\gamma_\pm} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}|_{\gamma_\pm} - \sum_{(\mu_+,\mu_-) \in (K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+) \setminus \{0\}) \times (K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-) \setminus \{0\})\,:\,\mu_+ + \mu_- = \gamma_\pm} (\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}|_{\mu_+})(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}|_{\mu_-}).$$

We can now finish the proof by a simultaneous induction on partially ordered sets $K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+)$ and $K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-)$ (note that the induction begins since $|S| \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+) \bigsqcup K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-)$ for every simple object S in $\mathscr{A}$). □

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary abelian category with the finite length property. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ closed under extensions and direct summands and satisfying*

1° *If M, N are indecomposable objects in $\mathscr{B}$ with $|M| = |N|$ then $M \cong N$;*

2° *$H_{\mathscr{B}}$ is generated by the $[M] \in \operatorname{Ind} \mathscr{A} \cap \operatorname{Iso} \mathscr{B}$.*

Then $H_{\mathscr{B}} = E_{\mathscr{B}}$.

Proof. If $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathscr{B}$ is indecomposable then 1° implies that

$$[M] = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{B}}|_{|M|} - \sum_{[N] \text{ decomposable}\,:\,|N| = |M|} [N]. \tag{2.3}$$

Given $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{B})$, define

$$H_{\mathscr{B},<\gamma} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in K_0^+(\mathscr{B})\,:\,\mu < \gamma} H_{\mathscr{B},\mu}, \qquad H_{\mathscr{B},\le\gamma} = H_{\mathscr{B},<\gamma} \oplus H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma}.$$

Clearly, the condition 2° implies that if M is decomposable then $[M] \in (H_{\mathscr{B},<|M|})^2$.

We prove by induction on the partially ordered set $K_0^+(\mathscr{B})$ that $H_{\mathscr{B},\le\gamma} \subset E_{\mathscr{B}}$ for all $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{B})$. If $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{B})$ is minimal then by (2.3) $[M] = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{B}}|_\gamma$ with $[M]$ indecomposable and so the induction begins.

Suppose that the claim is proven for all $\gamma' < \gamma$. Thus, in particular, $H_{\mathscr{B},<\gamma} \subset E_{\mathscr{B}}$. If γ is such that all objects M with $|M| = \gamma$ are decomposable, we are done by the induction hypothesis.

Otherwise, for the unique $[M]\in \operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}\cap\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{B}$ with $|M|=\gamma$ we have $[M]\in E_{\mathscr{B}}$ by (2.3) and the induction hypothesis, hence $H_{\mathscr{B},\gamma}\subset E_{\mathscr{B}}$ and so $H_{\mathscr{B},\le\gamma}\subset E_{\mathscr{B}}$. □

3. q-COMMUTATORS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8

3.1. Given an integral domain R and $q\in R$, $q\neq 0$, define an R-linear operator D_q on $R[[x]]$ (the q-derivative) by

$$(D_qf)(x)=\frac{f(qx)-f(x)}{(q-1)x}$$

and set

$$D_q^{(j)}:=\frac{1}{[j]_q!}\,D_q^j.$$

Since, clearly

$$D_q^{(j)}x^a=\begin{bmatrix}a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q x^{a-j}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix}a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q=\frac{[a]_q!}{[j]_q![a-j]_q!}$$

is the Guassian q-binomial which is well-known to be a polynomial in q, the $D_q^{(j)}$ are well-defined R-endomorphisms of $R[[x]]$ and of $R[x]$ regarded as a subring of $R[[x]]$. We gather some elementary properties of D_q in the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. (a) *For all* $f,g\in R[[x]]$,

$$D_q^{(j)}(fg)=\sum_{s=0}^{j}(D_q^{(j-s)}f)(q^sx)D_q^{(s)}g.$$

(b) *If* $f\in R[x]$ *is invertible in* $R[[x]]$ *then*

$$\prod_{s=0}^{j}f(q^sx)D_q^{(j)}(f^{-1})\in R[x],\qquad j\ge 0.$$

Proof. For $j=1$ it is easy to check that

$$D_q(fg)=f(qx)D_q(g)+g(D_qf). \tag{3.2}$$

For the inductive step, we have

$$D_q^{(r+1)}(fg)=\frac{1}{[r+1]_q}\sum_{s=0}^{r}D_q((D_q^{(r-s)}f)(q^sx)D_q^{(s)}g).$$

Since $D_q(P(q^ax))=q^a(D_qP)(q^ax)$, we obtain

$$D_q^{(r+1)}(fg)=\frac{1}{[r+1]_q}\sum_{s=0}^{r}q^s[r+1-s]_q(D_q^{(r+1-s)}f)(q^sx)D_q^{(s)}g+[s+1]_q(D_q^{(r-s)}f)(q^{s+1}x)D_q^{(s+1)}g.$$

To complete part (a), it remains to observe that $q^s[r+1-s]_q+[s]_q=[r+1]_q$.

For part (b), we use induction on j. Note that the assertion trivially holds for $j=0$ and (a) implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r}(D_q^{(r-j)}f)(q^jx)D_q^{(j)}(f^{-1})=0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Big(\prod_{j=0}^{r+1} f(q^j x)\Big) D_q^{(r+1)}(f^{-1}) = -\sum_{j=0}^{r} (D_q^{(r-j)} f)(q^j x)\Big(\prod_{t=0}^{r} f(q^t x)\Big) D_q^{(j)}(f^{-1}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\Big(\prod_{t=0}^{j} f(q^t x)\Big) D_q^{(j)}(f^{-1}) \in R[x]$, hence the right hand side of the above expression is contained in $R[x]$. □

3.2. Let F be a field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital associative F-algebra. Given $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in F^\times$, let $\operatorname{ad}_q x$, $\operatorname{ad}_q^* x$ be the F-linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $\operatorname{ad}_q x(y) = xy - qyx$ and $\operatorname{ad}_q^* x(y) = yx - qxy$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Since, clearly $\operatorname{ad}_q x \circ \operatorname{ad}_{q'} x = \operatorname{ad}_{q'} x \circ \operatorname{ad}_q x$, we can define $\operatorname{ad}_{(q_0,\dots,q_r)} x = \prod_{i=0}^r \operatorname{ad}_{q_i} x$ and $\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_0,\dots,q_r)} x = \prod_{i=0}^r \operatorname{ad}^*_{q_i} x$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(q_0,\dots,q_r) \in (F^\times)^{r+1}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_0,\dots,q_r)} x)(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} (-1)^j e_j(q_0,\dots,q_r) x^{r+1-j} y x^j,\\ (\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_0,\dots,q_r)} x)(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} (-1)^j e_j(q_0,\dots,q_r) x^j y x^{r+1-j},\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}x^r y &= \sum_{j=0}^{r} h_{r-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j)(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_0,\dots,q_{j-1})} x)(y) x^{r-j},\\ y x^r &= \sum_{j=0}^{r} h_{r-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j) x^{r-j}(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_0,\dots,q_{j-1})} x)(y),\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where e_s (respectively, h_s) denotes the elementary (respectively, the complete) symmetric polynomial of degree s. In particular, if $q_0, q \in F^\times$

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{ad}_{q_0(1,\dots,q^r)} x)(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j q_0^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} r+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^{r+1-j} y x^j,\\ (\operatorname{ad}^*_{q_0(1,\dots,q^r)} x)(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j q_0^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} r+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^j y x^{r+1-j}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$x^r y = \sum_{j=0}^{r} q_0^{r-j} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (\operatorname{ad}_{q_0(1,\dots,q^{j-1})} x)(y) x^{r-j}, \qquad y x^r = \sum_{j=0}^{r} q_0^{r-j} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^{r-j} (\operatorname{ad}^*_{q_0(1,\dots,q^{j-1})} x)(y). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. We prove the identities involving ad, the proof of the ones involving ad^* being similar. The argument is by induction on r, the case $r = 0$ being obvious in both (3.3) and (3.4). For the inductive step in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{ad}_{(q_0,\dots,q_r)}\,x)(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^j e_j(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1})x^{r+1-j}yx^j - \sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^j e_j(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1})q_r x^{r-j}yx^{j+1}\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(-1)^j(e_j(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1}) + e_{j-1}(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1})q_r)x^{r+1-j}yx^j\end{aligned}$$

where we use the usual convention that $e_s(x_1,\dots,x_k)=0$ if $s<0$ or $s>k$. To prove (3.4), let $y_0=y$ and define $y_{j+1}=(\mathrm{ad}_{q_j}\,x)(y_j)$, $j\ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}x^{r+1}y &= \sum_{j=0}^{r} h_{r-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j)(q_jy_jx^{r+1-j} + y_{j+1}x^{r-j})\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(h_{r-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j)q_j + h_{r+1-j}(q_0,\dots,q_{j-1}))y_jx^{r+1-j}.\end{aligned}$$

To complete both inductive steps, it remains to observe that

$$e_j(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1}) + e_{j-1}(q_0,\dots,q_{r-1})q_r = e_j(q_0,\dots,q_r)$$

and

$$h_{r-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j)q_j + h_{r+1-j}(q_0,\dots,q_{j-1}) = h_{r+1-j}(q_0,\dots,q_j)$$

which follow from the formulae

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k+1} e_s(x_0,\dots,x_k)t^s = \prod_{i=0}^{k}(1+x_it), \qquad \sum_{s\ge 0} h_s(x_0,\dots,x_k)t^s = \prod_{j=0}^{k}\frac{1}{1-x_jt}.$$

Since

$$\prod_{r=0}^{n}(1+q^rt) = \sum_{s\ge 0} q^{\binom{s}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}n+1\\ s\end{bmatrix}_q t^s, \qquad \prod_{r=0}^{n}\frac{1}{1-q^rt} = \sum_{s\ge 0}\begin{bmatrix}s+n\\ s\end{bmatrix}_q t^s, \tag{3.7}$$

(3.5) and (3.6) follow, respectively, from (3.3) and (3.4) ☐

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a completion $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $x,y\in\mathcal{A}$ and suppose that $(\mathrm{ad}_{q_0(1,q,\dots,q^r)}\,x)(y)=0$ for some $q_0,q\in F^\times$ and $r\ge 0$ and that the assignment $t\mapsto x$ extends to an algebra homomorphism $F[[t]]\to\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. Then for any $P\in F[[t]]$ we have in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$*

$$P(x)y = \sum_{j=0}^{r}(\mathrm{ad}_{q_0(1,q,\dots,q^{j-1})}\,x)(y)(D_q^{(j)}P)(q_0x).$$

Similarly, if $(\mathrm{ad}^*_{q_0(1,q,\dots,q^s)}\,x)(y)=0$,

$$yP(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s}(D_q^{(j)}P)(q_0x)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{q_0(1,q,\dots,q^{j-1})}\,x)(y)$$

Given $q\in F^\times$, define

$$\exp_q(t) = \sum_{j\ge 0}\frac{t^j}{[j]_q!}\in F[[t]].$$

Lemma 3.4. *In $F[[t]]$, we have $D_q(\exp_q(t)) = \exp_q(t)$ and for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\exp_q(t)^{-1}\exp_q(q^\nu t) =: \Phi_\nu(t,q) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{r=0}^{\nu-1}(1+q^r(q-1)t), & \nu \ge 0 \\ \displaystyle\prod_{r=1}^{-\nu}(1+q^{-r}(q-1)t)^{-1}, & \nu < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first identity is obvious. Since

$$\exp_q(t)^{-1}\exp_q(q^\nu t) = \sum_{a,b\ge 0}\frac{(-1)^a q^{\nu b}t^{a+b}}{[a]_q![b]_q!} = \sum_{r\ge 0}\Big(\sum_{a=0}^{r}(-1)^a q^{\binom{a}{2}+\nu(r-a)}\begin{bmatrix} r\\ a\end{bmatrix}_q\Big)\frac{t^r}{[r]_q!}$$

and by (3.7) the inner sum equals

$$q^{\nu r}\prod_{a=0}^{r-1}(1-q^{a-\nu}).$$

Suppose first that $\nu \ge 0$. Then we can rewrite it as

$$\prod_{a=0}^{r-1}(q^\nu - q^a) = [r]_q! q^{\binom{r}{2}}(q-1)^r\begin{bmatrix}\nu\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q$$

hence

$$\exp_q(t)^{-1}\exp_q(q^\nu t) = \sum_{r\ge 0} q^{\binom{r}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}\nu\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q((q-1)t)^r$$

which by (3.7) equals $\prod_{r=0}^{\nu-1}(1+q^r(q-1)t)$.

If $\nu < 0$, we write

$$q^{\nu r}\prod_{a=0}^{r-1}(1-q^{-\nu+a}) = (-1)^r q^{\nu r}(q-1)^r\prod_{a=0}^{r-1}[-\nu+r-1-a]_q = (-1)^r q^{\nu r}[r]_q!(q-1)^r\begin{bmatrix}-\nu+r-1\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q$$

hence

$$\exp_q(t)^{-1}\exp_q(q^\nu t) = \sum_{r\ge 0}\begin{bmatrix}-\nu+r-1\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q(-(q-1)q^\nu t)^r = \prod_{s=0}^{-\nu-1}(1+(q-1)q^{\nu+s}t)^{-1}$$

where we used the second identity in (3.7). □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume that $(\operatorname{ad}_{(q^\nu,q^{\nu+1},\dots,q^{\nu+r})}x)(y) = 0$ for some $q \in F^\times$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \ge 0$. Then in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$*

$$\exp_q(x)y\exp_q(x)^{-1} = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{1}{[j]_q!}(\operatorname{ad}_{(q^\nu,\dots,q^{\nu+j-1})}x)(y)\Big)\Phi_\nu(x,q)$$

and

$$\exp_q(x)^{-1}y\exp_q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}}{[j]_q!}(\operatorname{ad}_{(q^\nu,\dots,q^{\nu+j-1})}x)(y)\Phi_{-j-\nu}(q^{\nu+j}x,q).$$

In particular, $\exp(x)y\exp(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ (respectively, $\exp(x)^{-1}y\exp(x) \in \mathcal{A}$) if and only if $\nu \ge 0$ (respectively, $-\nu \ge r$). Similarly, if $(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q^\mu,q^{\mu+1},\dots,q^{\mu+s})}x)(y) = 0$ for some $q \in R$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \ge 0$

$$\exp_q(x)^{-1}y\exp_q(x) = \Phi_\mu(x,q)\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{s}\frac{1}{[j]_q!}(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q^\mu,\dots,q^{\mu+j-1})}x)(y)\Big)$$

and

$$\exp_q(x)y\exp_q(x)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}}{[j]_q!}\,\Phi_{-j-\mu}(q^{j+\mu}x, q)(\mathrm{ad}^*_{(q^{\mu},\dots,q^{\mu+j-1})}\,x)(y).$$

In particular, $\exp_q(x)^{-1}y\exp_q(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ *(respectively,* $\exp_q(x)y\exp_q(x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$*) if and only if* $\mu \geq 0$ *(respectively,* $-\mu \geq s$*).*

Recall that a multiplicatively closed subset $\mathcal{S}$ of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be an Ore set if $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain zero divisors and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$ there exist $u, u' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t, t' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $at = su$ and $t'a = u's$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $\mathcal{Y}$ *be a generating set for* $\mathcal{A}$*. Let* $x \in \mathcal{A}$ *be such that the assignment* $t \mapsto x$ *extends to an algebra homomorphism* $F[[t]] \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ *and suppose that there exists* $q \in F^\times$ *such that for all* $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ *either* $(\mathrm{ad}_{(q^\nu,q^{\nu+1},\dots,q^{\nu+r})}\,x)(y) = 0$ *or* $(\mathrm{ad}^*_{(q^\nu,q^{\nu+1},\dots,q^{\nu+r})}\,x)(y) = 0$ *for some* $\nu = \nu(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$*,* $r = r(y) \geq 0$*. Let* $\mathcal{S}_x$ *be the minimal multiplicatively closed subset of* $\mathcal{A}$ *containing* $1 + q^s(q-1)x$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$*. Then* $\mathcal{S}_x$ *is an Ore set in* $\mathcal{A}$ *and the assignment* $z \mapsto \exp_q(x)z\exp_q(x)^{-1}$*,* $z \in \mathcal{A}$ *defines an automorphism of* $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{S}_x^{-1}]$*.*

Proof. The elements of $\mathcal{S}_x$ are not zero divisors since they are invertible in the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{S}_x$ commute, it is enough to prove that the Ore condition holds for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}_x$.

We need the following simple

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $P \in F[t]$*. Then* P *divides* $D_q^{(s)}\big(\prod_{j=0}^{r} P(q^{-j}t)\big)$ *for all* $0 \leq s \leq r$*.*

Proof. Let $P_r = \prod_{j=0}^{r} P(q^{-j}t)$. The argument is by induction on r. For $r = 0$ there is nothing to do. For the inductive step, note that by Lemma 3.1(a)

$$D_q^{(s)} P_{r+1} = \sum_{a=0}^{s} q^{-(r+1)(s-a)} (D_q^{(s-a)} P)(q^{a-r-1}t) D_q^{(a)} P_r.$$

If $s < r+1$ then by the induction hypothesis P divides $D_q^{(a)} P_r$, $0 \leq a \leq s$. If $s = r+1$, the only term in the above sum to which the induction hypothesis does not apply is that with $a = r+1 = s$, which equals $P(t) D_q^{(r+1)} P_r$. □

Suppose that $y \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{ad}^*_{(q^\nu,q^{\nu+1},\dots,q^{\nu+r})}\,x)(y) = 0$ for some $q \in R^\times$ and $r, \nu \geq 0$ (the case of $(\mathrm{ad}_{(q^\nu,\dots,q^{\mu+r})}\,x)(y) = 0$ is similar). Let $P \in \mathcal{S}_x$ and set

$$P' = \prod_{j=0}^{r} P(q^{-j-\nu}x)$$

Clearly, $P' \in \mathcal{S}_x$. Then by Corollary 3.3

$$yP' = \sum_{j=0}^{r} q^{-j\nu} D_q^{(j)} \Big(\prod_{t=0}^{r} P(q^{-t}x) \Big) Y_j = PY, \qquad Y_j, Y \in \mathcal{A},$$

since, by the above Lemma, P divides $D_q^{(j)}\Big(\prod_{t=0}^{k} P(q^{-t}x)\Big)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$.

Since P is invertible in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, we further have

$$yP^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (D_q^{(j)} P^{-1})(q^{\nu} x) Y_j.$$

Let $P'' = \prod_{s=0}^{r} P(q^{\nu+s}x)$. By Lemma 3.1(b), $P''(D_q^{(j)} P^{-1})$ is a polynomial in x for all $0 \le j \le k$. Therefore, $P'' y P^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{S}_x$ is an Ore set in $\mathcal{A}$. It remains to apply Corollary 3.5. □

3.3. We will now use the above identities to study the action of certain elements of the quantum Chevalley group on the Hall algebra.

Recall that an object E in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ is called a *brick* if $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ is a division ring. In that case, $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M)$ is a right $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$-vector space while $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E)$ is a left $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$-vector space for all $i \ge 0$. Set

$$\nu_E(M) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M)_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E} - \dim_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E).$$

We say that a brick E is *exceptional* if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, E) = 0$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let E be an exceptional brick in an abelian category $\mathscr{A}$ and let M be an object in $\mathscr{A}$. If $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M) = 0$ then for a non-split short exact sequence*

$$0 \to E \to U \to M \to 0$$

we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E)$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) = 0$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) / \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) / \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$. Similarly, if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) = 0$ then for a non-split short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to U \to E \to 0$$

we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M)$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) = 0$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M) / \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) / \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$.

Proof. We prove the first statement only, the argument for the second one being similar. Consider a non-split short exact sequence

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{\iota} U \to M \to 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(-, E)$ we obtain

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \to 0.$$

We claim that the natural morphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$, $f \mapsto f \circ \iota$ is identically zero. Otherwise, since it is a morphism of left $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$-vector spaces and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ is one dimensional as such, it is surjective and so there exists $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E)$ such that $f \circ \iota = 1_E$ hence (3.8) splits. Thus, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E)$ and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, E) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U, E) \to 0.$$

On the other hand, applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, -)$ to (3.8) we obtain a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M) \to 0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, U) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, M) \to 0$$

which yields the remaining isomorphisms. □

3.4. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary abelian category. Let E be an exceptional brick in $\mathscr{A}$ and denote $\Bbbk_E = \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} E$ and $q_E = |\Bbbk_E|$.

Proposition 3.9. *If* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M)=0$, *then*

$$(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_E^{\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{\nu_E(M)+r})}[E])([M])=0,$$

where $r=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)$, *and for any* $P\in\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ *we have in* $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$

$$[M]P([E])=\sum_{j=0}^{r}(D^{(j)}_{q_E}P)(q_E^{\nu_E(M)}[E])(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_E^{\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{\nu_E(M)+j-1})}[E])([M])$$

Similarly, if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)=0$,

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_E^{-\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{-\nu_E(M)+s})}[E])([M])=0,$$

where $s=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M)$, *and for any* $P\in\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ *we have in* $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}}$

$$P([E])[M]=\sum_{j\ge 0}(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_E^{-\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{-\nu_E(M)+j-1})}[E])([M])(D^{(j)}_{q_E}P)(q_E^{-\nu_E(M)}[E]).$$

Proof. We prove only the first statement, the prove of the second one being similar. We will need the following Lemma

Lemma 3.10. *If* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M)=0$,

$$(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_E^{\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{\nu_E(M)+j-1})}[E])([M])=\sum_{[U]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}}c_{[U]}[U]$$

where $c_{[U]}\ne 0$ *implies that*

$$\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U,E)=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1(M,E)-j,\qquad \nu_E(U)=\nu_E(M)+j. \tag{3.9}$$

In particular,

$$(\operatorname{ad}^*_{(q_E^{\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{\nu_E(M)+j})}[E])([M])=0,\qquad j\ge\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1(M,E).$$

Similarly, if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)=0$,

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_E^{-\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{-\nu_E(M)+j-1})}[E])([M])=\sum_{[U']\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}}c_{[U']}[U']$$

where $c_{[U']}=0$ *unless*

$$\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,U')=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1(E,U')-j,\qquad \nu_E(U')=\nu_E(M)-j. \tag{3.10}$$

In particular,

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{(q_E^{-\nu_E(M)},\dots,q_E^{-\nu_E(M)+j})}[E])([M])=0,\qquad j\ge\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1(E,M).$$

Proof. Consider U such that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,U)=0$. We have by (2.1)

$$[E][U]=\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(U\oplus E)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E,U)||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}U||\Bbbk_E^\times|}[U\oplus E].$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.8 and (2.1)

$$[U][E]=\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(U\oplus E)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(U,E)||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}U||\Bbbk_E^\times|}[U\oplus E]+\sum_{[U']\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}}c_{[U']}[U']=q_E^{\nu_E(U)}[E][U]+\sum_{[U']\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}}c_{[U']}[U']$$

where $c_{[U']}\neq 0$ implies that we have a non-split short exact sequence

$$0\to E\to U'\to U\to 0.$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\nu_E(U')=\nu_E(U)+1$ and $\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U',E)=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(U,E)-1$. An obvious induction now completes the proof of the Lemma. □

To complete the proof of the proposition, it remains to apply the Lemma, together with Corollary 3.3 with $x=[E]$, $y=[M]$, $q_0=q_E^{\nu_E(M)}$, $q=q_E$ and $r=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)$ (respectively, $s=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M)$). □

Thus, if E is an exceptional brick and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M)=0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(-1)^j q_E^{\binom{j}{2}+j\nu_E(M)}\begin{bmatrix}r+1\\j\end{bmatrix}_{q_E}[E]^j[M][E]^{r+1-j}=0,\qquad r=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)\tag{3.11}$$

while if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,E)=0$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(-1)^j q_E^{\binom{j}{2}-j\nu_E(M)}\begin{bmatrix}r+1\\j\end{bmatrix}_{q_E}[E]^{r+1-j}[M][E]^j=0,\qquad r=\dim_{\Bbbk_E}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,M).\tag{3.12}$$

In the special case when (E,E') is an orthogonal exceptional pair of bricks (that is, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E,E')=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E',E)=\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E,E')=0$), we obtain the so-called fundamental relations in the Hall algebra of the subcategory $\mathscr{A}(E,E')$ of $\mathscr{A}$ which is defined to be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ containing E,E' and closed under extensions. In particular, this yields Serre relations in $C_{\mathscr{A}}$. Namely, S and S' are non-isomorphic simples with $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S',S)=0$, $\dim_{\Bbbk_S}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S,S')=r$ and $\dim_{\Bbbk_{S'}}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S,S')=r'$ then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r'+1}(-1)^j q_{S'}^{\binom{j}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}r'+1\\j\end{bmatrix}_{q_{S'}}[S']^j[S][S']^{r'+1-j}=0=\sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(-1)^j q_S^{\binom{j}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}r+1\\j\end{bmatrix}_{q_S}[S]^{r+1-j}[S'][S]^j\tag{3.13}$$

Propositions 3.6 and 3.9 immediately yield

Theorem 3.11. *Let E be an exceptional brick and let $\mathcal{S}_E$ be the minimal multiplicatively closed subset of $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ containing $1+(q_E-1)q_E^j[E]$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_E$ is an Ore set in $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)\lrcorner}$ and in $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)\llcorner}$ and the assignment $[M]\mapsto\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([E])[M]\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([E])^{-1}$ extends to an automorphism of $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)\lrcorner}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$ and $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)\llcorner}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$. In particular, if E is a projective or an injective indecomposable, this assignment defines an automorphism of $H_{\mathscr{A}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$.*

Therefore, Theorem 1.8 is proved.

4. PREPROJECTIVE AND PREINJECTIVE FACTORIZATION

4.1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a hereditary finitary category with the finite length property and with a projective generator and an injective co-generator. We may assume, up to equivalence, that $\mathscr{A}$ is the category $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ of finitely generated left modules over a hereditary Artin $\Bbbk$-algebra Λ. We now gather the properties of preprojective and preinjective objects in the category $\mathscr{A}$ that will be needed later.

Let $\tau^+ : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$ be the Auslander-Reiten translation and τ^- be its left adjoint functor. By [1, Corollary IV.4.7], for any objects $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$ we have

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(X, Y) = \dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Y, \tau^+ X). \tag{4.1}$$

An indecomposable $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$ is called *preprojective* (respectively, *preinjective*) if for some non-negative integer n, $(\tau^+)^n M$ is a non-zero projective (respectively, $(\tau^-)^n M$ is a non-zero injective) object. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ (respectively, $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$) be the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable preprojective (respectively, preinjective) objects. We say that $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$ is preprojective (respectively, preinjective) if all its indecomposable summands are preprojective (respectively, preinjective), or, equivalently, if $(\tau^+)^n M = 0$ (respectively, $(\tau^-)^n M = 0$) for some $n \geq 0$. For an indecomposable preprojective P (respectively, preinjective I) we denote by $\delta^+(P)$ (respectively, $\delta^-(I)$) the non-negative integer, easily seen to be unique, such that $(\tau^+)^{\delta_+(P)} P$ is projective (respectively, $(\tau^-)^{\delta_-(I)} I$ is injective). If an indecomposable X is not preprojective (respectively, preinjective) we set $\delta^+(X) = \infty$ (respectively, $\delta^-(X) = \infty$). Clearly we can regard $\delta^{\pm}$ as functions $\delta^{\pm} : \operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. We will need the following properties of preprojectives and preinjectives (the details can be found in [1, §VIII.1–2])

Proposition 4.1. (a) *For any $n \geq 0$, the sets $\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} : \delta^+(\alpha) \leq n\}$ and $\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} : \delta^-(\alpha) \leq n\}$ are finite. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ are countable.*

Let X, P, I be indecomposable, with $[P] \in \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ and $[I] \in \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$. Then

(b) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(X, P) \neq 0 \implies \delta^+(X) \leq \delta^+(P)$.
(c) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(P, X) \neq 0 \implies \delta^+(X) \leq \delta^+(P) - 1$.
(d) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(I, X) \neq 0 \implies \delta^-(X) \leq \delta^-(I)$.
(e) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(X, I) \neq 0 \implies \delta^-(X) \leq \delta^-(I) - 1$.
(f) *P and I are exceptional bricks.*
(g) *If $|X| = |P|$ (respectively, $|X| = |I|$) then $X \cong P$ (respectively, $X \cong I$).*

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that E, E' are non-isomorphic preprojective or preinjective indecomposables in $\mathscr{A}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, E') \neq 0$ implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E', E) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E', E) \neq 0$ implies $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E, E') = 0$.*

Proof. We prove the statement for preprojectives only, since the duality functor implies the dual statement for preinjectives. If $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E, E') \neq 0$ then $\delta^+(E) \leq \delta^+(E')$ by Proposition 4.1 and so the strict inequality immediately yields $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E', E) = 0$. Assume that $\delta^+(E) = \delta^+(E') = r$. Thus, $E = (\tau^-)^r P$ and $E' = (\tau^-)^r P'$ where P, P' are projective and indecomposable. Since $E \ncong E'$, $P \ncong P'$ by [1, Proposition VIII.1.3]. Since the functor τ^- is fully faithful on the subcategory of modules without injective summands ([1, Lemma VIII.1.1]), it is thus enough to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P, P') \neq 0$ implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P', P) = 0$. By [1, Lemma II.1.12], $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P, P') \neq 0$ implies that P is isomorphic to a submodule of P' and similarly $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P',P) \neq 0$ implies that P' is isomorphic to a submodule of P. Since all involved modules are finite dimensional over $\Bbbk$, we conclude that $P \cong P'$. $\square$

4.2. Let E be an indecomposable preprojective object in $\mathscr{A}$. Let $\operatorname{Ind}^{<}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ (respectively, $\operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$, $\operatorname{Ind}^{>}_{E}\mathscr{A}$) be the subset of $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$ consisting of α with $\delta^+(\alpha) < \delta^+(E)$ (respectively, $\delta^+(\alpha) = \delta^+(E)$, $\delta^+(\alpha) > \delta^+(E)$). Set $\mathscr{A}^{>}_{E} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\operatorname{Ind}^{>}_{E}\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{A}^{0}_{E} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\operatorname{Ind}^{<}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ and let $\mathscr{A}^{\geq 0} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(\operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A} \cup \operatorname{Ind}^{>}_{E}\mathscr{A})$. For an indecomposable preinjective object, we define similar subcategories with δ^+ replaced by δ^-.

It turns out that $(\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E}, \mathscr{A}^{0}_{E}, \mathscr{A}^{>}_{E})$ is a factorizing triple. Moreover, we have the following

Proposition 4.3. (a) $\operatorname{Ind}^{<}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ *and* $\operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ *are finite sets;*

(b) $\mathscr{A}^{>}_{E}$, $\mathscr{A}^{0}_{E}$, $\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E}$ *and* $\mathscr{A}^{\geq}_{E}$ *are closed under extensions;*

(c) *The multiplication map* $H_{\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E}} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}^{0}_{E}} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}^{>}_{E}} \to H_{\mathscr{A}}$ *is an isomorphism of vector spaces and* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E}}\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^{0}_{E}}\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^{>}_{E}}$*;*

(d) *For all* $[M],[N] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$, $[M][N] = q^r[N][M]$, $q = |\Bbbk|$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, *and* $H_{\mathscr{A}^{0}_{E}}$ *is generated by the* $[M] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$*;*

(e) $\mathcal{S}_E$ *defined in Proposition 3.11 is an Ore set in* $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ *and* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([E])$ *acts on* $H_{\mathscr{A}}[\mathcal{S}_E^{-1}]$ *by conjugation.*

Proof. Part (a) is immediate from Proposition 4.1(a).

Let $[M] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{<}_{E}\mathscr{A}$, $[N] \in \operatorname{Ind}_0\mathscr{A}$, $[K] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{>}_{E}\mathscr{A}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(K,N) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(K,M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N,M) = 0$$

by Proposition 4.1(b), and part (b) follows from Lemma 2.1. Furthermore, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}^{<}_{E} \bigvee \mathscr{A}^{0}_{E} \bigvee \mathscr{A}^{>}_{E}$. By Proposition 4.1(c)

$$\mathscr{A}^{<}_{E} \subset (\mathscr{A}^{\geq 0}_{E})^{\lrcorner}, \qquad \mathscr{A}^{0}_{E} \subset (\mathscr{A}^{>}_{E})^{\lrcorner}.$$

Then (c) follows from Proposition 2.5. To prove (d), note that by Proposition 4.1(c), $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(L,L') = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(L',L)$ for all L,L' with $[L],[L'] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$ and by Lemma 4.2 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(L,L') \neq 0$ implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(L',L) = 0$. Thus, by Corollary 2.4

$$[L][L'] = \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(L',L)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(L,L')|}[L'][L].$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(L,L')$ is a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space, this fraction is a power of q. In particular, every $[N] \in \operatorname{Ind} A^{0}_{E}$, can be written, up to a power of q, as a product of the $[L] \in \operatorname{Ind}^{0}_{E}\mathscr{A}$. To prove (e), note that by part (c), every basis element $[M]$ of $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ can be written as a product of a basis element of $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\llcorner}}$ and of a basis element of $H_{\operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(E)^{\lrcorner}}$. It remains to apply Proposition 3.11. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a total order* $\prec$, *called* normal, *on* $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ *such that* $[M] \prec [N]$ *implies that* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N) = 0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N,M)$. *Similarly, there exists a total order* $\prec$ *on* $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ *such that* $[M] \prec [N]$ *implies that* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(N,M) = 0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N)$.

Proof. Fix $n \geq 0$. We first proceed to define an order $\prec_n$ on the set $\{\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) : \delta^+(\alpha) = n\}$ then extend it to a total order on $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ by setting $\alpha \prec \beta$ if $\delta^+(\alpha) < \delta^+(\beta)$ or $\delta^+(\alpha) = \delta^+(\beta) = n$

and $\alpha \prec_n \beta$. To define $\prec_n$, it is enough to define $\prec_0$, since τ^- is a fully faithful functor on the full subcategory category of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects have no injective summands. Thus, if P, P' are projective indecomposables, set $P \prec_0 P'$ if P is a subobject of P'. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is acyclic, this can be completed to a total order on the set of projective indecomposables with the desired property. □

4.3. Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is indecomposable in the sense that it cannot be written as a direct sum of abelian blocks. Then $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$ is a finite set if and only if there exists an indecomposable module which is both preinjective and preprojective ([1, Proposition VIII.1.14]). Otherwise, there exists an indecomposable module, called regular, which is neither preprojective, nor preinjective. Let $\mathscr{R}(\Lambda)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of such modules. More generally, a module is called regular if all its indecomposable summands are regular.

Let $\mathscr{A}_- = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$, $\mathscr{A}_+ = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\mathscr{A}_0 = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{R}(\Lambda)$. Thus, $\mathscr{A}_-$ (respectively, $\mathscr{A}_+$, $\mathscr{A}_0$) is the full subcategory of preprojective (respectively, preinjective, regular) modules. We also set $\mathscr{A}_{\ge 0} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A}) \bigsqcup \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A}))$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\le 0} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) \bigsqcup \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A})$; thus, objects of $\mathscr{A}_{\ge 0}$ (respectively, $\mathscr{A}_{\le 0}$) are modules which have no preprojective (respectively, preinjective) summands. Since

$$\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A} = \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) \bigsqcup \mathscr{R}(\Lambda) \bigsqcup \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$$

and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(R, P) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(I, P) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(I, R) = 0$ for all indecomposable P, R, I such that $[P] \in \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$, $[R] \in \mathscr{R}(\Lambda)$ and $[I] \in \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathscr{A}_\pm$, $\mathscr{A}_{\ge 0}$, $\mathscr{A}_{\le 0}$ and $\mathscr{A}_0$ are closed under extensions.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that* $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$ *is infinite. Then* $H_{\mathscr{A}} \cong H_{\mathscr{A}_-} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_0} \otimes H_{\mathscr{A}_+}$ *as an algebra, and* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_{\ge 0}} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_{\le 0}} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}$. *Moreover,*

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} = \overset{\rightarrow}{\prod_{[M] \in \operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}_\pm}} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([M]),$$

where the product is taken in the normal order, that is, if $[M] \prec [M']$ *then* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([M])$ *occurs to the left of* $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([M'])$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_- \bigvee \mathscr{A}_0 \bigvee \mathscr{A}_+$. Since by Proposition 4.1

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M_-, M_0) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M_-, M_+) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M_0, M_+) = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M_0, M_-) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M_+, M_-) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M_+, M_0) = 0$$

the first assertion follows from Proposition 2.5.

To prove the second, note that $[P] \prec [Q]$ implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(Q^{\oplus b}, P^{\oplus a}) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(P^{\oplus a}, Q^{\oplus b})$. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ is countable, number its elements according to the normal order as

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) = \{[P_1], [P_2], \dots\}, \qquad [P_1] \prec [P_2] \prec \cdots$$

Then we have by Corollary 2.4

$$[P_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus P_2^{\oplus a_2} \oplus \cdots] = [P_1^{\oplus a_1}][P_2^{\oplus a_1}] \cdots$$

and we obtain the desired factorization for $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}$. □

Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0}\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}=\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([S_1])\cdots\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}([S_r]),\tag{4.2}$$

where the product in the right hand side is written in the source order.

4.4. Fix an ordering of the isomorphism classes of simples, say the one corresponding to the source order. Since $\mathscr{A}$ has the finite length property, $\mathbf{S}=\{|S_i|\}_{1\le i\le r}$ is a basis of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ and

$$|M|=\sum_i [M:S_i]|S_i|.$$

It is well-known (cf. [1, Lemma VIII.2.1]) that $\mathbf{P}=\{|P_i|\}_{1\le i\le r}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{I}=\{|I_i|\}_{1\le i\le r}$) where P_i (respectively, I_i) is the projective cover (respectively, the injective envelope) of a simple object S_i, are bases of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$. The automorphism c of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ defined by $c(|P_i|)=-|I_i|$ is called the *Coxeter transformation.*

Consider the non-symmetric Euler form $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot):K_0(\mathscr{A})\times K_0(\mathscr{A})\to\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$(|M|,|N|)=\dim_{\Bbbk}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N)-\dim_{\Bbbk}\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M,N).$$

Thus, $\langle |M|,|N|\rangle=|\Bbbk|^{(|M|,|N|)}$. Let $d_i=(|S_i|\,,\,|S_i|)$. Since for any $M\in\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$

$$\dim_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}}S_i}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_i,M)=[M:S_i]=\dim_{\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}}S_i}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M,I_i)$$

we have

$$(|P_i|,|S_j|)=d_i\delta_{i,j}=\sum_k [P_i:S_k](|S_k|,|S_j|)$$

which implies that the basis change matrix from $\mathbf{P}$ to $\mathbf{S}$ is equal to $(C^T)^{-1}D$, where

$$C=((|S_i|,|S_j|))_{1\le i,j\le r}$$

is the matrix of the Euler form with respect to $\mathbf{S}$ and $D=\operatorname{diag}(d_1,\dots,d_r)$. Similarly, the basis change matrix from $\mathbf{I}$ to $\mathbf{S}$ equals $C^{-1}D$. Thus, we obtain

Lemma 4.6. *The matrix of the Coxeter transformation with respect to* $\mathbf{S}$ *equals* $-C^{-1}C^T$.

Since $(|S_i|,|S_j|)=0$ if $i>j$ and $d_i=\dim_{\Bbbk}\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}}S_i\neq 0$, we conclude that the form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is non-degenerate.

We will need the following properties of the Coxeter transformation.

Proposition 4.7 ([1, Proposition VIII.2.2 and Corollary VIII.2.3])**.** *Let M be an indecomposable object.*

(a) *If M is not projective then $c(|M|)=|\tau^+M|\in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$. Otherwise, $c(|M|)\in -K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$.*
(b) *If M is not injective then $c^{-1}(|M|)=|\tau^-M|\in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$. Otherwise, $c^{-1}(|M|)\in -K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$.*
(c) *For any preprojective (respectively, preinjective) object X, there exists $r>0$ such that $c^r(|X|)$ (respectively, $c^{-r}(|X|)$) is in $-K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$.*

Proof of Lemma 1.14 and Theorem 1.15. Since $\langle |P_i|,\alpha_j\rangle=q_i^{\delta_{ij}}=\langle \alpha_j,|I_i|\rangle$ and the form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is non-degenerate, it follows that $\gamma_{-i}=|P_i|$ and $\gamma_i=|I_i|$, $1\le i\le r$. Therefore, the automorphism c defined before Lemma 1.14 coincides with the Coxeter transformation. Then $\gamma_{i,k}=c^k(|I_i|)=|(\tau^+)^kI_i|$ and $\gamma_{-i,-k}=c^{-k}(|P_i|)=|(\tau^-)^kP_i|$ for $1\le i\le r$ and $k\ge 0$. By Proposition 4.7, for each $\gamma\in\Gamma_\pm$

there exists a unique, up to an isomorphism, indecomposable E_γ with $|E_\gamma| = \gamma$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) = \{[E_\gamma] : \gamma \in \Gamma_-\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A}) = \{[E_\gamma] : \gamma \in \Gamma_+\}$. The remaining assertions of the Lemma follow the fact that the category $\mathscr{A}$ has finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposables if and only if $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A}) \neq \emptyset$ and this happens if and only if $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) = \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ (cf. [1, Propositions VIII.1.13–14]).

Moreover, the order on $\Gamma_\pm$ defined in Theorem 1.15 coincides with the normal order on $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$. It only remains to apply Proposition 4.5. □

We have

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_i, (\tau^-)^k P_j) = d_i[(\tau^-)^k(P_j) : S_i] = (|P_i|, c^{-k}(|P_j|))$$

and

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}((\tau^-)^k P_j, P_i) = \dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_i, (\tau^-)^{k-1} P_j) = d_i[(\tau^-)^{k-1} P_j : S_i] = (|P_i|, c^{-k+1}(|P_j|)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E_{\gamma_{-i,-k}}, E_{\gamma_{-j,-r}}) = d_i[(\tau^-)^{r-k}(P_j) : S_i] = (\gamma_{-i}, c^{k-r}(\gamma_{-j})), \qquad r \geq k \tag{4.3}$$

and, by (4.1)

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E_{\gamma_{-j,-r}}, E_{\gamma_{-i,-k}}) = d_i[(\tau^-)^{r-k-1}(P_j) : S_i](\gamma_{-i}, c^{k+1-r}(\gamma_{-j})), \qquad r > k. \tag{4.4}$$

This, together with (3.11), (3.12), can be used to write explicit commutator relations between indecomposable preprojective objects. Namely,

$$(\operatorname{ad}^*_{q^{(\gamma_{-i}, c^{k-r}(\gamma_{-j}))}(1, q^{d_i}, q^{2d_i}, \dots, q^{(\gamma_{-i}, c^{k+1-r}(\gamma_{-j}))})}[E_{\gamma_{-i,-k}}])([E_{\gamma_{-j,-r}}]) = 0,$$

and

$$(\operatorname{ad}_{q^{(\gamma_{-i}, c^{k-r}(\gamma_{-j}))}(1, q^{d_j}, q^{2d_j}, \dots, q^{(\gamma_{-i}, c^{k+1-r}(\gamma_{-j}))})}[E_{\gamma_{-j,-r}}])([E_{\gamma_{-i,-k}}]) = 0.$$

4.5. We finish this section with a proof of Corollary 1.16.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is not of finite type. Then $K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-) \cap K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_{\geq 0}) = 0 = K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_{\leq 0}) \cap K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_+)$.*

Proof. Take $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-) \cap K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_{\geq 0})$. Since $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_-)$, by Proposition 4.7(c) there exists $r > 0$ such that $c^r(\gamma) \in -K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$. On the other hand, again by Proposition 4.7, since $\gamma \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}_{\geq 0})$, we have $c^s(\gamma) \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A})$ for all $s \geq 0$ hence $c^r(\gamma) \in K_0^+(\mathscr{A}) \cap (-K_0^+(\mathscr{A})) = 0$. Since c is an automorphism, $\gamma = 0$. The second statement is proved similarly. □

Now we have all ingredients to prove Corollary 1.16. Recall that we denote by $C_{\mathscr{A}}$ the composition algebra of $\mathscr{A}$ and by $E_{\mathscr{A}}$ the subalgebra of $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ generated by the homogeneous components of $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}$. Note that in this case $C_{\mathscr{A}} = E_{\mathscr{A}}$ by (4.2).

Proposition 4.9. (a) *$H_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} \subset C_{\mathscr{A}}$;*
(b) *$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0}|_\gamma \in C_{\mathscr{A}}$ for all $\gamma \in K_0(\mathscr{A})_0$.*

Proof. If $\mathscr{A}$ has finitely many indecomposables, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_\pm$ and so $E_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} = E_{\mathscr{A}} = C_{\mathscr{A}}$. Otherwise, $(\mathscr{A}_-, \mathscr{A}_{\geq 0})$ and $(\mathscr{A}_{\leq 0}, \mathscr{A}_+)$ are factorizing pairs for $\mathscr{A}$ by Proposition 4.5. Then by Lemmata 4.8 and 2.9, $E_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} \subset E_{\mathscr{A}} = C_{\mathscr{A}}$.

Proposition 4.1(f,g) and Corollary 2.4(b) imply that $\mathscr{A}_+$ and $\mathscr{A}_-$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.10, hence $H_{\mathscr{A}_\pm} = E_{\mathscr{A}_\pm}$ and thus are subalgebras of $C_{\mathscr{A}}$. This proves (a).

To prove (b), it remains to observe that by (4.2)

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_-}{}^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_+}{}^{-1}. \qquad \square$$

5. Examples

5.1. We will now discuss the case of a hereditary acyclic category with only two non-isomorphic simples in more detail. Thus, $\mathcal{E}_A$ is the valued graph $1 \xrightarrow{(a_1,a_0)} 0$, $a_0a_1 > 0$. Then $S_1 = I_1$, $S_0 = P_0$, $|P_1| = \alpha_{10} = \alpha_1 + a_0\alpha_0$ and $|I_0| = \alpha_{01} = a_1\alpha_1 + \alpha_0$. We have

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A}) = \{[P_{2n+i}]\}_{0\leq n\leq \delta^-(P_i), i\in I}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A}) = \{[I_{2n+i}]\}_{0\leq n\leq \delta^+(I_i), i\in I}$$

where $P_{2n+i} = (\tau^-)^n(P_i)$ and $I_{2n+i} = (\tau^+)^n I_i$. In this case, it is possible to describe the images of preinjectives and preprojectives in $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ very explicitly via a rather simple recursion. Consider the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the preprojective component ([1, Propositions VIII.1.15–16])

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {[P_1]} \arrow[dr, "{(a_0,a_1)}"] & & {[P_3]} \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[dr, "{(a_0,a_1)}"] & & \cdots \arrow[ll, dashed] \\
{[P_0]} \arrow[ur, "{(a_1,a_0)}"] & & {[P_2]} \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur, "{(a_1,a_0)}"'] & & {[P_4]} \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] & & \cdots \arrow[ll, dashed]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the dashed arrows denote the Auslander-Reiten translation. Thus, for all $k \geq 0$, we have short exact sequences

$$0 \to P_{2k} \to P_{2k+1}^{\oplus a_1} \to P_{2k+2} \to 0, \qquad 0 \to P_{2k+1} \to P_{2k+2}^{\oplus a_0} \to P_{2k+3} \to 0,$$

whence $|P_{r+1}| + |P_{r-1}| = a_r|P_r|$, $r \geq 1$, where $a_r = a_{r \pmod 2}$. Similar considerations yield a recursion $|I_{r+1}| + |I_{r-1}| = a_r|I_r|$, $r \geq 1$. Combining these, we obtain

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\beta_0 = \alpha_0$, $\beta_{-1} = -\alpha_1$ and define β_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$ by*

$$\beta_{n+1} + \beta_{n-1} = a_n\beta_n.$$

Then

$$\beta_{2r} = \begin{cases} |P_{2r}|, & 0 \leq r < \delta^-(P_0) \\ -|I_{-2r+2}|, & -\delta^+(I_0) \leq r < 0 \end{cases}, \qquad \beta_{2r+1} = \begin{cases} |P_{2r+1}|, & 0 \leq r < \delta^-(P_1) \\ -|I_{-2r-1}|, & -\delta^+(I_1) \leq r < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can identify $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ with $\{\beta_r : r \geq 0\} \subset K_0(\mathscr{A})$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ with $\{-\beta_r : r < 0\} \subset K_0(\mathscr{A})$.

It is not hard to write an explicit formula for β_r, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let U_n be the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind

$$U_n(t) = 2tU_{n-1} - U_{n-2}, \qquad n \geq 1, \qquad U_{-1} = 0, \quad U_0 = 1$$

and set $\lambda_n(t) = U_{n-1}(t/2 - 1)$, $\mu_n(t) = U_n(t/2 - 1) + U_{n-1}(t/2 - 1)$. Then

$$\beta_r = a_{r+1}\lambda_{\lfloor (r+1)/2\rfloor}(a_0a_1)\alpha_{r+1} + \mu_{\lfloor r/2\rfloor}(a_0a_1)\alpha_r, \qquad r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k \pmod 2}$.

If $r = s \pmod 2$, $r \leq s$ we have (in this case $\operatorname{End} P_r \cong \operatorname{End} P_s$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_r} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_r, P_s) &= \mu_{(s-r)/2}(a_0 a_1)\\
\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_r} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(P_s, P_r) &= \mu_{(s-r)/2-1}(a_0 a_1).
\end{aligned}$$

while for $r < s$ with $r = s+1 \pmod 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_r} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_r, P_s) = a_r \lambda_{(s+1-r)/2}(a_0 a_1), \quad &&\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_s} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_r, P_s) = a_s \lambda_{(s+1-r)/2}(a_0 a_1)\\
&\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_r} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(P_s, P_r) = a_r \lambda_{(s-r-1)/2}(a_0 a_1), &&\dim_{\operatorname{End} P_s} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(P_r, P_s) = a_s \lambda_{(s-r-1)/2}(a_0 a_1).
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.3), (4.4). This, together with (3.11) and (3.12), allows us to write the commutation relations among all preprojective objects. Namely, if $r \leq s$ and $r = s \pmod 2$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{x+1} (-1)^j q_r^{\binom{j}{2}+jy} \begin{bmatrix} x+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q_r} [P_r]^j [P_s] [P_r]^{x+1-j} = 0 = \sum_{j=0}^{x+1} (-1)^j q_r^{\binom{j}{2}-jy} \begin{bmatrix} x+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q_r} [P_s]^{x+1-j} [P_r] [P_s]^j \tag{5.1}$$

where $x = \mu_{\frac{1}{2}(s-r)-1}(a_0 a_1)$ and $y = \mu_{(s-r)/2}(a_0 a_1)$. If $r < s$ and $s = r+1 \pmod 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{a_r z+1} (-1)^j q_r^{\binom{j}{2}+j a_r w} \begin{bmatrix} a_r z+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q_r} [P_r]^j [P_s] [P_r]^{a_r z+1-j} &= 0\\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{a_s z+1} (-1)^j q_s^{\binom{j}{2}-j a_s w} \begin{bmatrix} a_s z+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q_s} [P_s]^{a_s z+1-j} [P_r] [P_s]^j,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $z = \lambda_{(s-r-1)/2}(a_0 a_1)$ and $w = \lambda_{(s-r+1)/2}(a_0 a_1)$.

Both sets $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$, $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$ are finite (and hence $\operatorname{Ind}\mathscr{A}$ is finite) if and only if $a_0 a_1 < 4$. The normal order on $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{A})$ (respectively, $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{A})$) is given by $\beta_r \prec \beta_s$ (respectively, $\beta_{-s} \prec \beta_{-r}$) if $r < s$. If $a_0 a_1 \geq 4$, the identity (4.2) can be written as follows

$$\Big(\overset{\rightarrow}{\prod_{r>0}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_r}(E_{\beta_r})\Big) \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \Big(\overset{\leftarrow}{\prod_{r>1}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_r}(E_{-\beta_{-r}})\Big) = [\operatorname{Exp}_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_0}), \operatorname{Exp}_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_1})]$$

where $[a,b] = a^{-1} b a b^{-1}$.

If $a_0 a_1 < 4$, we have $\mathscr{A}_+ = \mathscr{A}_- = \mathscr{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \overset{\rightarrow}{\prod_{r\geq 0}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_r}(E_{\beta_r}) = \overset{\leftarrow}{\prod_{r>0}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_r}(E_{-\beta_{-r}})$$

(both products are finite) which yields

$$\overset{\rightarrow}{\prod_{r>0}} \operatorname{Exp}_{q_r}(E_{\beta_r}) = [\operatorname{Exp}_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_0}), \operatorname{Exp}_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_1})].$$

More explicitly, we have

$$[\exp_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_0}), \exp_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_1})] = \begin{cases} \exp_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_{10}}), & a_0 = a_1 = 1\\ \exp_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_{01}}), & a_0 a_1 = 2\\ \exp_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_{01}+\alpha_0}) \exp_{q_1}(E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_{10}}) \exp_{q_0}(E_{\alpha_{01}}), & a_0 a_1 = 3. \end{cases}$$

It should be noted that these Chevalley-type relations hold in higher ranks.

5.2. Consider the category $\mathscr{A}$ of $\Bbbk$-representations of the quiver

$$1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to n.$$

If $\alpha_i = |S_i|$, then isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects are uniquely determined by their images in $K_0(\mathscr{A})$ which are given by $\alpha_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{j} \alpha_k$. Denote the corresponding indecomposable by $E_{i,j}$; in particular, $E_{i,i} = S_i$. Then

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(E_{j,k}, S_i) = \delta_{i,j}, \qquad \dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(S_i, E_{j,k}) = \delta_{i,k}$$

and

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S_i, E_{j,k}) = \delta_{i,j-1}, \qquad \dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(E_{j,k}, S_i) = \delta_{i,k+1}.$$

Fix $1 \le i < n$ and let $\mathscr{A}_+$ (respectively, $\mathscr{A}_-$) be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects satisfy $[M : S_j] = 0$ if $j \le i$ (respectively, $j > i$). It is immediate from Corollary 2.8 that $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a factorizing pair. We claim that it in fact a pentagonal pair. Indeed, clearly $\mathscr{A}_- \subset \mathscr{A}_+{}^{\lrcorner}$. Moreover, if M is an indecomposable object that is not in $\mathscr{A}_- \bigvee \mathscr{A}_+$, then $M \cong E_{j,k}$ with either $j \le i$ or $k > i$. In particular, every such object is in $\mathscr{A}_0 = \mathscr{A}_-^{\llcorner} \cap \mathscr{A}_+^{\lrcorner}$ and so $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_- \bigvee \mathscr{A}_0 \bigvee \mathscr{A}_+$. It is now easy to see, using Proposition 2.5, that $(\mathscr{A}_-, \mathscr{A}_0, \mathscr{A}_+)$ is a factorizing triple and hence $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a pentagonal pair.

Also, let $E = E_{\alpha_{ij}}$. Then $\mathscr{A}_E^0 = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\{[\alpha_{k,j}] : k < j\}$, $\mathscr{A}_E^{<} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\{[\alpha_{rs}] : s > j\}$ and finally $\mathscr{A}_E^{>} = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}}\{[\alpha_{rs}] : s < j\}$.

5.3. We now discuss a non-hereditary example. Consider the following quiver

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 1 \arrow[dl, "a_{12}"'] \arrow[dr, "a_{13}"] & \\
2 \arrow[dr, "a_{24}"'] & & 3 \arrow[dl, "a_{34}"] \\
& 4 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with relation $a_{34}a_{13} = a_{24}a_{12}$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the category of finite dimensional representations of that quiver over $\Bbbk$ with $|\Bbbk| = q$. Denote $\alpha_i = |S_i|$, $1 \le i \le 4$. The isomorphism classes of indecomposables in $\mathscr{A}$ are uniquely determined by their images in $K_0(\mathscr{A})$. The Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathscr{A}$ is (cf. [1, §VII.2])

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4 \arrow[ddr] & & & \\
& \alpha_2+\alpha_4 \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_3 \arrow[dr] \arrow[ll, dashed] & & \alpha_1+\alpha_2 \arrow[dr] \arrow[ll, dashed] & \\
\alpha_4 \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4 \arrow[ur] \arrow[uur] \arrow[dr] \arrow[ll, dashed] & & \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3 \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] \arrow[ll, dashed] & & \alpha_1 \arrow[ll, dashed] \\
& \alpha_3+\alpha_4 \arrow[ur] & & \alpha_2 \arrow[ur] \arrow[ll, dashed] & & \alpha_1+\alpha_3 \arrow[ur] \arrow[ll, dashed] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where dashed arrows denote the Auslander-Reiten translation. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp_q(E_4)\exp_q(E_{24})\exp_q(E_{34})\exp_q(E_{234})\exp_q(E_2)\exp_q(E_3)\exp_q(E_{1234})\exp_q(E_{123})\times \\
&\qquad \exp_q(E_{12})\exp_q(E_{13})\exp_q(E_1) = \exp_q(E_1)\exp_q(E_2)\exp_q(E_3)\exp_q(E_4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $E_{i_1 i_2 \dots}$ denotes $[\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \cdots]$. In particular, if we set $\mathscr{A}_+ = \operatorname{Add}_{\mathscr{A}} S_1$ and let $\mathscr{A}_-$ be the largest full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects satisfy $[M : S_1] = 0$ then $(\mathscr{A}_+, \mathscr{A}_-)$ is a pentagonal pair by Proposition 2.5 (note that $\mathscr{A}_-$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{k}$-representations of the quiver $2 \to 4 \leftarrow 3$).

Consider now the same quiver

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[dl, "a_{12}"'] \arrow[dr, "a_{13}"] & \\
2 \arrow[dr, "a_{24}"'] & & 3 \arrow[dl, "a_{34}"] \\
 & 4 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

but this time with the relation $a_{24}a_{12} = 0$. The Auslander-Reiten quiver in this case is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \alpha_2{+}\alpha_4 \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_3 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_1{+}\alpha_2 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[dr] & \\
\alpha_4 \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_2{+}\alpha_3{+}\alpha_4 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_1{+}\alpha_2{+}\alpha_3 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_1 \arrow[ll, dashed] \\
 & \alpha_3{+}\alpha_4 \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_1{+}2\alpha_2{+}\alpha_3{+}\alpha_4 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \alpha_1{+}\alpha_3 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] & \\
 & & P_1 \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & I_4 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \\
 & \alpha_2 \arrow[ur] & & \alpha_1{+}\alpha_3{+}\alpha_4 \arrow[ll, dashed] \arrow[ur] & & \alpha_2 \arrow[ll, dashed] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two copies of S_2 in the lowest row are to be identified and P_1 (respectively, I_4) is the projective cover (respectively, the injective envelope) of S_1 (respectively, S_4), are non-isomorphic and $|P_1| = |I_4| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. In this case, no partition of the set of isomorphism classes of simples gives a pentagonal pair, since the indecomposable X with $|X| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ satisfies $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(X, S_2) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(S_2, X) \neq 0$, while it involves all other simples as its composition factors.

5.4. Suppose that we have have an autoequivalence on $\mathscr{A}$ which induces a permutation σ of the set of isomorphism classes of simples in $\mathscr{A}$ and a natural action of σ on $K_0(\mathscr{A})$. Thus, on the level of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$, σ must induce an automorphism of valued graphs. Then we can consider the full subcategory $\mathscr{A}^\sigma$ of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects M satisfy $|M| \in K_0(\mathscr{A})^\sigma$. This category is clearly closed under extensions.

The simple objects in $\mathscr{A}^\sigma$ are of the form $S_{\mathbf{i}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{i}} S_i$ where $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, \dots, r\}/\sigma$. There is a natural source order on the set of σ-orbits and we get

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^\sigma} = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^\sigma}(S_{\mathbf{i}_1}) \cdots \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}^\sigma}(S_{\mathbf{i}_r}).$$

5.5. Let R be a principal ideal domain such that $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite field for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Consider the category $\mathscr{A}$ of R-modules of finite length. It is well-known that $\mathscr{A} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec} R} \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})$ where $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathscr{A}$ whose objects are finite length R-modules M with $\operatorname{Ann} M = \mathfrak{m}^j$ for some $j \geq 0$. Each of the categories $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})$ is hereditary, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(M, N) = 0$ if $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})$, $N \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m}')$ with $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}'$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec} R} \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})}.$$

Fix $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. Then for each $r > 0$, there exists a unique indecomposable $\mathcal{I}_r := \mathcal{I}_r(\mathfrak{m}) = R/\mathfrak{m}^r$ of length r, and for every partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots)$ there is a unique object $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathcal{I}_\lambda(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_2} \oplus \cdots$.

The Hall algebra of $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})$ is in fact very well understood (cf., for example, [6, 10]). It is commutative, is isomorphic to the Hall algebra of the category of nilpotent finite length modules over $\Bbbk[x]$ where $\Bbbk \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ and is the classical Hall-Steiniz algebra. It is freely generated by $[\mathcal{I}_{(1^r)}] = [\mathcal{I}_1^{\oplus r}]$. Then

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})}{}^{-1} = \sum_{r\ge 0}(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}}[\mathcal{I}_{(1^r)}],$$

where $q = |R/\mathfrak{m}|$. There is a well-known homomorphism $\Phi : H_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})} \to \operatorname{Sym}$, where Sym is the ring of symmetric polynomials in infinitely many variables $x_1, x_2, \dots$, given by $\Phi([\mathcal{I}_{(1^r)}]) \mapsto q^{-\binom{r}{2}}e_r$ where $e_r = \sum_{1\le i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_r}$ is the rth elementary symmetric function. Let $\widehat{\operatorname{Sym}}$ be the natural completion of Sym and extend Φ to an isomorphism $\widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})} \to \widehat{\operatorname{Sym}}$. Then

$$\Phi(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})}{}^{-1}) = \sum_{r\ge 0}(-1)^r e_r = \prod_{i\ge 1}(1-x_i).$$

This implies that

$$\Phi(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})}) = \prod_{i\ge 1}\frac{1}{1-x_i} = \sum_{r\ge 0} h_r,$$

where h_r is the rth complete symmetric function. Let

$$P_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_r;q) = \prod_{i=0}^{r}[m_i]_q!^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in S_r}\sigma\Big(x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_r^{\lambda_r}\prod_{1\le i<j\le r}\frac{x_i-qx_j}{x_i-x_j}\Big)$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_r \ge 0)$ and $m_i = \#\{1\le j\le r\,:\,\lambda_j = i\}$. Since $\Phi([\mathcal{I}_\lambda]) = q^{-n(\lambda)}P_\lambda(x;q^{-1})$, where $n(\lambda) = \sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i$, we obtain

$$\sum_\lambda q^{n(\lambda)}P_\lambda(x;q)\sum_{r\ge 0}(-1)^r e_r = 1.$$

This identity specializes to a well-known identity in the ring of symmetric polynomials in finitely many variables (in which the second sum becomes finite). In particular (cf. [6]),

$$\sum_{\lambda\vdash r} q^{n(\lambda)}P_\lambda(x;q) = h_r.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda)| = q^{\sum_{i,j}(\min(i,j)-\delta_{ij})a_ia_j}\prod_i|\operatorname{GL}(a_i,\Bbbk)|$$

where $\lambda = (1^{a_1}2^{a_2}\cdots)$. Let $a_\lambda(q) = |\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda)|$. Then the homomorphism $\int : \widehat{H}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{m})} \to \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ is given by

$$[\mathcal{I}_\lambda] \mapsto a_\lambda(q)^{-1}t^{|\lambda|}$$

and yields

$$\Big(\sum_{r\ge 0}t^r\sum_{\lambda\vdash r}a_\lambda(q)^{-1}\Big)\Big(\sum_{s\ge 0}(-1)^s q^{\binom{s}{2}}\frac{t^s}{|\operatorname{GL}(s,q)|}\Big) = 1$$

or

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{s}(1-q^j)^{-1}\Big)\sum_{\lambda\vdash r-s}a_\lambda(q)^{-1} = 0, \qquad r>0.$$

5.6. Retain the notation of 5.1 and assume that $\mathscr{A}$ is of tame representation type. In that case, $\mathscr{A}_0$ is abelian and is a direct sum of countably many abelian subcategories $\mathscr{A}_\rho$, known as stable tubes, since for any ρ there exists $r_\rho \geq 0$ such that for any indecomposable $M \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}_\rho$, $\tau^{r_\rho}M \cong M$. The minimal r_ρ with that property is called the rank of $\mathscr{A}_\rho$, and it is well-known that $r_\rho = 1$ for all but finitely many ρ. Thus,

$$H_{\mathscr{A}_0} \cong \bigotimes_\rho H_{\mathscr{A}_\rho}$$

as an algebra and moreover

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_0} = \prod_\rho \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\rho}.$$

There exists an indecomposable $S_\rho \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}_\rho$ of minimal length which is a simple object in $\mathscr{A}_\rho$. In particular, it is a brick. Then all non-isomorphic simple objects in $\mathscr{A}_\rho$ are of the form $(\tau^-)^r S_\rho$, $0 \leq r < r_\rho$. Since τ is an exact autoequivalence $\mathscr{A}_\rho \to \mathscr{A}_\rho$, it induces an automorphism of $H_{\mathscr{A}_\rho}$ of order r_ρ defined by $[M] \mapsto [\tau M]$. Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}_\rho}{}^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_{r_\rho})\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r_\rho}} (-1)^{\sum_i a_i} q_\rho^{\sum_i \binom{a_i}{2}} [S_\rho(\mathbf{a})]$$

where $q_\rho = |\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{A}} S_\rho|$ and $S_\rho(\mathbf{a}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_\rho} (\tau^i S_\rho)^{\oplus a_i}$. In particular, if $r_\rho = 1$, $\mathscr{A}_\rho$ is equivalent to the category of nilpotent representations of $\Bbbk[x]$ as described in 5.5.

APPENDIX A. COPRODUCT

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary category and define a linear map $\Delta : H_{\mathscr{A}} \to H_{\mathscr{A}} \widehat{\otimes} H_{\mathscr{A}}$ by:

$$\Delta([C]) = \sum_{[A],[B]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|} F_C^{A,B} \cdot [A] \otimes [B] \,, \tag{A.1}$$

where $F_C^{A,B}$ is the dual Hall number given by

$$F_C^{A,B} = \frac{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(A)||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}}(C)|} F_{A,B}^C = \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)_C|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|}$$

where we used (2.1).

Lemma A.1. *We have* $\Delta(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} \otimes \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}$.

Proof. Since for all $A, B \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}$

$$\sum_{[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|} F_{[C]}^{[A],[B]} = \sum_{[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)_C|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|} = 1$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}) = \sum_{[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \Delta([C]) &= \sum_{[A],[B],[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|} F_{[C]}^{[A],[B]} [A] \otimes [B] \\
&= \sum_{[A],[B]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \left(\sum_{[C]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(A,B)|} F_{[C]}^{[A],[B]} \right) [A] \otimes [B] \\
&= \sum_{[A],[B]\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} [A] \otimes [B] = \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}} \otimes \operatorname{Exp}_{\mathscr{A}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In general Δ is not coassociative. However, it is easy to see that Δ becomes coassociative if $\mathscr{A}$ is hereditary. Moreover, in that case it is a homomorphism of braided algebras. In order to make this statement precise, we need to introduce some terminology from the theory of braided categories.

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathbb{F}$-linear braided tensor category with the braiding operator $\Psi_{U,V} : U \otimes V \to V \otimes U$ for all objects U, V of $\mathscr{C}$. If $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are associative algebras in $\mathscr{C}$, then $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ acquires a natural structure of an associative algebra in $\mathscr{C}$ via $m_{\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B}} := (m_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes m_{\mathcal{B}})(1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}})$, where $m_{\mathcal{A}}$, $m_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the respective multiplication morphisms.

Our main example of a category $\mathscr{C}$ is as follows. Let Γ be an additive monoid and let $\chi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \Bbbk^\times$ be a bicharacter. Let $\mathscr{C}_\chi$ be the tensor category of Γ-graded vector spaces $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} V(\gamma)$ such that each component $V(\gamma)$ is finite-dimensional. The following Lemma is immediate.

Lemma A.2. *The category $\mathscr{C}_\chi$ is a braided tensor category with the braiding $\Psi_{U,V} : U\otimes V \to V\otimes U$ for each objects U and V given by*

$$\Psi_{U,V}(u_\gamma \otimes v_\delta) = \chi(\gamma, \delta) \cdot v_\delta \otimes u_\gamma$$

for any $u_\gamma \in U(\gamma)$, $v_\delta \in V(\delta)$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are associative algebras in $\mathscr{C}_\chi$, the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = \chi(\beta, \alpha')(aa' \otimes bb'),$$

where $a' \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha')$, $b \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$.

Now let $\mathscr{A}$ be a hereditary finitary category. Let $\Gamma = K_0(\mathscr{A})$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathscr{A}$ and let $\chi = \chi_{\mathscr{A}} : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the bicharacter given by:

$$\chi_{\mathscr{A}}(|M|, |N|) = \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}}(N, M)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{A}}(N, M)|}, \qquad M, N \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{A}.$$

(the bicharacter $\chi_{\mathscr{A}}$ is well-defined because it is essentially the Euler form on $K_0(\mathscr{A})$). Thus, the coproduct Δ can be written as

$$\Delta([C]) = \sum_{[A],[B]} \chi(|B|, |A|) F_C^{A,B} [A] \otimes [B].$$

The following is a reformulation of Green's theorem ([3]) for Hall algebras.

Theorem A.3. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a finitary hereditary category. Then $H_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a bialgebra in $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_{\mathscr{A}}}$ with the coproduct Δ given by* (A.1).

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta([C])\Delta([C'])\\
&\quad= \Big(\sum_{[A],[B]} \chi(|B|, |A|) F_C^{A,B} \cdot [A] \otimes [B]\Big)\Big(\sum_{[A'],[B']} \chi(|B'|, |A'|) F_{C'}^{A',B'} \cdot [A'] \otimes [B']\Big)\\
&\quad= \sum_{[A],[B],[A'],[B']} \chi(|B|, |A|)\chi(|B'|, |A'|)\chi(|B|, |A'|) F_C^{A,B} F_{C'}^{A',B'} \cdot [A][A'] \otimes [B][B']\\
&\quad= \sum_{\substack{[A],[B],[A']\\ [B'],[A''],[B'']}} \chi(|B|, |A|)\chi(|B'|, |A'|)\chi(|B|, |A'|) F_C^{A,B} F_{C'}^{A',B'} F_{A,A'}^{A''} F_{B,B'}^{B''} \cdot [A''] \otimes [B'']
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\Delta([C][C']) = \sum_{[C'']} F_{C,C'}^{C''}\Delta([C'']) = \sum_{[C''],[A''],[B'']} \chi(|B''|,|A''|)F_{C,C'}^{C''}F_{C''}^{A'',B''}\cdot[A'']\otimes[B'']$$

We need to compare the coefficients of $[A'']\otimes[B'']$ in these expressions. Since $|A''| = |A| + |A'|$ and $|B''| = |B| + |B'|$,

$$\chi(|B|,|A|)\chi(|B'|,|A'|)\chi(|B|,|A'|)\chi(|B''|,|A''|)^{-1} = \chi(|B'|,|A|)^{-1}$$

By [3, Theorem 2]

$$\sum_{[A],[B],[A'],[B']\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} \chi(|B'|,|A|)^{-1}\cdot F_{A,A'}^{A''}F_{B,B'}^{B''}F_{C'}^{A',B'}F_{C}^{A,B} = \sum_{[C'']\in\operatorname{Iso}\mathscr{A}} F_{C,C'}^{C''}F_{C''}^{A'',B''} \tag{A.2}$$

for any objects A'', B'', C, C' in $\mathscr{A}$, and it is now immediate that

$$\Delta([C])\Delta([C']) = \Delta([C][C'])$$

that is, Δ is a homomorphism of algebras. $\square$

References

[1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[2] X. Chen and J. Xiao, *Exceptional sequences in Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Compositio Math. **117** (1999), no. 2, 161–187.

[3] J. A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), no. 2, 361–377.

[4] J. Fei, *Counting Using Hall Algebras I. Quivers*, Preprint, arXiv:1111.6452 (2011).

[5] B. Keller, *On cluster theory and quantum dilogarithm identities*, Preprint, arXiv:1102.4148 (2011).

[6] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1979.

[7] M. Reineke, *Counting rational points of quiver moduli*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2006** (2006), 1–19.

[8] C. Riedtmann, *Lie algebras generated by indecomposables*, J. Algebra **170** (1994), no. 2, 526–546.

[9] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), no. 3, 583–591.

[10] O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on Hall algebras*, Preprint, arXiv:math.RT/0611617 (2006).

[11] A. Sevostyanov, *Regular nilpotent elements and quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **204** (1999), no. 1, 1–16.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
E-mail address: `arkadiy@math.uoregon.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521.
E-mail address: `jacob.greenstein@ucr.edu`